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Countervailing factors are reshaping the global economy, and no industry is immune to their impact. Grounded in the built, physical world, construction may seem less vulnerable to the impact of digital technologies and Silicon Valley disrupters. Indeed, the cranes accenting fast-rising urban centers and the workers on commercial and residential projects might lead some executives to believe that as it has been, so it shall be.

In truth, construction is just as susceptible to these disruptions as other industries, but the ways in which the landscape will be affected are different. In 2017, the McKinsey Global Institute (MGI) highlighted that the construction industry needs to evolve and showed ways in which it can change to improve productivity by 50 to 60 percent and deliver $1.6 trillion a year in incremental global value.1 The call to action was heard: executives we speak to are thinking through how to prepare for changes ahead—and they increasingly recognize that it’s no longer a matter of if or when construction will be affected. Change is already here.

The COVID-19 crisis unfolding at the time of publishing this report will accelerate disruption and the shift to a “next normal” in the construction ecosystem. Many executives are wrestling with the pandemic’s economic turmoil, the shifts in demand it entails, and operating restrictions and longer-term safe working procedures. However, it is also critical for executives to lift their view to what the future will hold in terms of changes to business models and industry dynamics. It is in times of crisis that winners segregate from losers, and those who take bold moves fast can reap the rewards.

This research analyzes how the entire ecosystem of construction will change, how much value is at risk for incumbents, and how companies can move fast to adapt to and, in fact, create a new industry structure. We relied on top-down reviews of industry dynamics, bottom-up analysis of company data, and executive surveys to offer an unprecedented look at the entire value chain. In developing the report, we have sought to address the most pressing longer-term strategic questions for executives in the ecosystem: how their part of the value chain will be affected, by how much, and what they should consider doing to prepare for a future that will differ radically from the present.

Our hope is that these insights will help accelerate a transformation that we believe will and must happen and provide executives around the world with a map to help navigate the rough water ahead.
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In brief

The construction industry, and its broader ecosystem, erects buildings, infrastructure, and industrial structures that are the foundation of our economies and are essential to our daily lives. It has successfully delivered ever more challenging projects, from undersea tunnels to skyscrapers. However, the industry also has performed unsatisfactorily in many regards for an extended period of time. The COVID-19 pandemic may be yet another crisis that wreaks havoc on an industry that tends to be particularly vulnerable to economic cycles.

External market factors, combined with fragmented and complex industry dynamics and an overall aversion to risk, have made change both difficult and slow. The COVID-19 crisis looks set to dramatically accelerate the ecosystem’s disruption that started well before the crisis. In such times, it is more important than ever for actors to find a guiding star for what the next normal will look like in the aftermath and make the bold, strategic decisions to emerge as a winner.

Many studies have examined individual trends such as modular construction and sustainability. This report provides an assessment of how the full array of disruptive trends will combine to reshape the industry in earnest. Our research builds future scenarios based on more than 100 conversations with experts and executives, firsthand experience serving clients throughout the ecosystem, and reviews of other industries and their transformation journeys. We confirmed the trends and scenarios that surfaced by conducting a survey of 400 global industry leaders. Finally, we quantitatively modeled value and profit pools across the value chain, based on company data today, and formulated future scenarios. We found overwhelming evidence that disruption will touch all parts of the industry and that it has already begun at scale.

Among our findings are the following:

— Construction is the biggest industry in the world, and yet, even outside of crises, it is not performing well. The ecosystem represents 13 percent of global GDP, but construction has seen a meager productivity growth of 1 percent annually for the past two decades. Time and cost overruns are the norm, and overall earnings before interest and taxes (EBIT) are only around 5 percent despite the presence of significant risk in the industry.

— Nine shifts will radically change the way construction projects are delivered—and similar industries have already undergone many of the shifts. A combination of sustainability requirements, cost pressure, skills scarcity, new materials, industrial approaches, digitalization, and a new breed of player looks set to transform the value chain. The shifts ahead include productization and specialization, increased value-chain control, and greater customer-centricity and branding. Consolidation and internationalization will create the scale needed to allow higher levels of investment in digitalization, R&D and equipment, and sustainability as well as human capital.
The COVID-19 crisis will accelerate change that has already started to occur at scale. Our research suggests that the industry will look radically different five to ten years from now. More than 75 percent of respondents to our executive survey agreed that the nine shifts are likely to occur, and more than 60 percent believe they are likely to occur at scale in the next five years. We already see concrete signs of change: for example, the permanent modular-construction market share of new North American real-estate construction projects has grown by 50 percent from 2015 to 2018, R&D spending among the top 2,500 construction companies globally has risen by approximately 77 percent since 2013, and a new breed of player has emerged to lead the change. Two-thirds of survey respondents believe that COVID-19 will lead to an acceleration of the transformation, and half have already raised investment in that regard.

A $265 billion annual profit pool awaits disrupters. A value chain delivering approximately $11 trillion of global value added and $1.5 trillion of global profit pools looks set for overhaul. In a scenario based on analysis and expert interviews by asset class, strongly affected segments could have a staggering 40 to 45 percent of incumbent value added at risk, even when the economic fallout from COVID-19 abates—value that could shift to new activities such as off-site manufacturing, to customer surplus, or to new sources of profit. If the value at stake is captured by players in the construction ecosystem, total profit pools could nearly double, from the current 5 to 10 percent. The scale and pace of change and the appropriate response will differ greatly among real-estate, infrastructure, and industrial construction—but all of them will be affected. Players that move fast and manage to radically outperform their competitors could grab the lion’s share of the $265 billion in new and shifting profits and see valuations more akin to those of Silicon Valley start-ups than traditional construction firms.

To survive and thrive, incumbents must respond. All of the players in the construction value chain will need to develop their strategies for dealing with or leading disruption. This is especially true for engineering and design, materials distribution and logistics, general contracting, and specialized subcontracting, all of which are likely to face commoditization and declining shares of value for parts of their activities. Companies can try to defend their positions and adjust to the changing environment, or reinvent themselves to take advantage of changes in the industry. All will need to invest in enablers like agile organizations.

Investors are well advised to use foresight on the respective shifts in their investment activity and will have ample opportunity to generate alpha. Policy makers should help the industry become more productive and achieve better housing and infrastructure outcomes for citizens. And owners stand to benefit from better structures at lower cost if they play their part in making the shifts happen.
Construction, which encompasses real estate, infrastructure, and industrial structures, is the largest industry in the global economy, accounting for 13 percent of the world’s GDP. A closer look at its underlying performance highlights the industry’s challenges in good economic times, let alone in times of crisis. We expect a set of nine shifts to radically change the way construction is done. Companies that can adjust their business models stand to benefit handsomely, while others may struggle to survive.

Historically, the construction industry has underperformed. Construction is responsible for a wide range of impressive accomplishments, from stunning cityscapes and foundational infrastructure on a massive scale to sustained innovation. However, in the past couple of decades, it also has been plagued by dismal performance.

Annual productivity growth over the past 20 years was only a third of total economy averages. Risk aversion and fragmentation as well as difficulties in attracting digital talent slow down innovation. Digitalization is lower than in nearly any other industry. Profitability is low, at around 5 percent EBIT margin, despite high risks and many insolvencies. Customer satisfaction is hampered by regular time and budget overruns and lengthy claims procedures.

The industry will feel the economic impact of the COVID-19 strongly, as will the wider construction ecosystem—which includes construction companies’ component and basic-materials suppliers, developers
and owners, distributors, and machinery and software providers. At the time of writing, high levels of economic uncertainty prevail worldwide, and the construction industry tends to be significantly more volatile than the overall economy. MGI scenarios suggest that if things go well, construction activity could be back to pre-crisis levels by early 2021. But longer-term lockdowns could mean that it takes until 2024 or even later. In the past, crises have had an accelerative effect on trends, and this crisis is also expected to trigger lasting change impacting use of the built environment, like online channel usage or remote-working practices.

The lagging performance of the construction industry is a direct result of the fundamental rules and characteristics of the construction market and the industry dynamics that occur in response to them. Cyclical demand leads to low capital investment, and bespoke requirements limit standardization. Construction projects are complex, and increasingly so, and logistics need to deal with heavy weight and many different parts. The share of manual labor is high, and the industry has a significant shortage of skilled workers in several markets. Low barriers to entry in segments with lower project complexity and a significant share of informal labor allow small and unproductive companies to compete. The construction industry is extensively regulated, subject to everything from permits and approvals to safety and work-site controls, and lowest-price rules in tenders make competition based on quality, reliability, or alternative design offerings more complicated.

In response to these market characteristics, today’s construction industry must grapple with several dynamics that impede productivity and make change more difficult. Bespoke projects with unique features and varying topology have a limited degree of repeatability and standardization. Local market structures and ease of entry have resulted in a fragmented landscape (both vertically and horizontally) of mostly small companies with limited economies of scale. Moreover, every project involves many steps and companies in every project with scattered accountability, which complicates the coordination. Contractual structures and incentives are misaligned. Risks are often passed to other areas of the value chain instead of being addressed, and players make money from claims rather than from good delivery. High unpredictability and cyclicity have led construction firms to rely on temporary staff and subcontractors, which hampers productivity, limits economies of scale, and reduces output quality and customer satisfaction.

A changing market environment, technological progress, and disruptive new entrants will trigger industry overhaul
The construction industry was already starting to experience an unprecedented rate of disruption before the COVID-19 pandemic. In the coming years, fundamental change is likely to be catalyzed by changes in market characteristics, such as scarcity of skilled labor, persistent cost pressure from infrastructure and affordable housing, stricter regulations on work-site sustainability and safety, and evolving sophistication and needs of customers and owners. Emerging disruptions, including industrialization and new materials, the digitalization of products and processes, and new entrants, will shape future dynamics in the industry (Exhibit A).

Sources of disruption
Rising customer sophistication and total-cost-of-ownership (TCO) pressure. Customers and owners are increasingly sophisticated, and the industry has seen an influx of capital from more savvy customers. From 2014 to 2019, for example, private-equity firms raised more than $388 billion to fund infrastructure projects, including $100 billion in 2019 alone, a 24 percent increase from 2018. Client demands are also evolving regarding performance, TCO, and sustainability: smart buildings, energy and operational efficiency, and flexibility and adaptability of structures will become higher priorities. Expectations are also rising among customers, who want simple, digital interactions as well as more adaptable structures.
The industry is facing persistent cost pressure because of tight public budgets and housing-affordability issues. McKinsey analysis found that $69.4 trillion in global infrastructure investment would be needed through 2035 to support expected GDP growth and that every third global urban household cannot afford a decent place to live at market prices. The economic fallout of the COVID-19 crisis magnifies the cost and affordability issues.

Persistently scarce skilled labor and changing logistics equations. Skilled-labor shortages have become a major issue in several markets, and retirements will drain talent. For example, about 41 percent of the current US construction workforce is expected to retire by 2031. The impact the COVID-19 crisis will have on this dynamic in the long term is unclear at the time of writing.

Exhibit A

Changing characteristics and emerging disruptions will drive change in the industry and transform ways of working.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Changes in market characteristics</th>
<th>Future industry dynamics</th>
<th>Emerging disruptions</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Customer demand</strong></td>
<td>1. Product-based approach</td>
<td>Industrialization</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Persistent cost pressure from</td>
<td></td>
<td>New production technology—enabling industrialization and shift toward off-site production</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>tight public budgets and housing-</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>affordability concerns</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Increasing need for adaptable</td>
<td>2. Specialization</td>
<td>New materials</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>structures</td>
<td></td>
<td>New-material technology—new, lighter-weight materials enabling improved logistics</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Increasing owner and customer</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>sophistication</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Evolving customer needs and</td>
<td>3. Value-chain control</td>
<td>Digitalization of</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>greater focus on total cost of</td>
<td>and integration with</td>
<td>products and processes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ownership</td>
<td>industrial-grade supply</td>
<td>Digitalization of</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>chains</td>
<td>processes and</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Increasing complexity of projects</td>
<td></td>
<td>products and shift</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>toward more</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Higher demand for simplified and</td>
<td></td>
<td>data-driven decision</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>digital interactions</td>
<td></td>
<td>making—digital will</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>impact:</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Increasing sustainability</td>
<td></td>
<td>• Operations—smart</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>requirements and demands for</td>
<td></td>
<td>buildings and</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>safety performance</td>
<td></td>
<td>infrastructure</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>• Design—BIM, BIM</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>objects</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>• Construction and</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>production—BIM, project management, Industry 4.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>• Channels—digital</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>sales channels and</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>distribution/logistics</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>**Construction inputs and</td>
<td>4. Consolidation</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>characteristics</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Persistent scarcity of skilled</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>labor</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Changing logistics equation</td>
<td>5. Customer-centricity</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>resulting from new materials and</td>
<td>and branding</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>modules</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Market rules and regulations</strong></td>
<td>6. Investment in technology and facilities</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Stricter regulation on safety</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>and sustainability</td>
<td>7. Investment in human resources</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Changing regulations and</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>incentives for modern methods of</td>
<td>8. Internationalization</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>construction, enabling more</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>standardization</td>
<td>9. Sustainability</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

¹Building-information modeling.
Safety and sustainability regulations and possible standardization of building codes. Requirements for sustainability and work-site safety are increasing. In the wake of COVID-19, new health and safety procedures will be required. The global conversation about climate change puts increasing pressure on the industry to reduce carbon emissions.

At the same time, in some markets, governments are recognizing the need to standardize building codes or provide type certificates and approvals for factory-built products rather than reviews of each site. The process, however, is still slow.

Industrialization. Modularization, off-site production automation, and on-site assembly automation will enable industrialization and an off-site, product-based approach. The shift toward a more controlled environment will be even more valuable as the COVID-19 pandemic further unfolds. The next step in the transition to efficient off-site manufacturing involves integrating automated production systems—essentially making construction more like automotive manufacturing.

New materials. Innovations in traditional basic materials like cement enable a reduction of carbon footprints. Emerging lighter-weight materials, such as light-gauge steel frames and cross-laminated timber, can enable simpler factory production of modules. They will also change the logistics equation and allow longer-haul transport of materials and greater centralization.

Digitalization of products and processes. Digital technologies can enable better collaboration, greater control of the value chain, and a shift toward more data-driven decision making. These innovations will change the way companies approach operations, design, and construction as well as engage with partners. Smart buildings and infrastructure that integrate the Internet of Things (IoT) will increase data availability and enable more efficient operations as well as new business models, such as performance-based and collaborative contracting. Companies can improve efficiency and integrate the design phase with the rest of the value chain by using building-information modeling (BIM) to create a full three-dimensional model (a “digital twin”)—and add further layers like schedule and cost—early in the project rather than finishing design while construction is already underway. This will materially change risks and the sequence of decision making in construction projects and put traditional engineering, procurement, and construction (EPC) models into question. Automated parametric design and object libraries will transform engineering. Using digital tools can significantly improve on-site collaboration. And digital channels are spreading to construction, with the potential to transform interactions for buying and selling goods across the value chain. As in other industries, the COVID-19 pandemic is accelerating the integration of digital tools.

New entrants. Start-ups, incumbent players making new bets, and new funding from venture capital and private equity are accelerating disruption of current business models. As the COVID-19-propelled economic crisis unfolds, we also expect an increase in corporate restructuring and M&A activity.

The nine resulting industry shifts
In response, we expect nine shifts to fundamentally change the construction industry. According to our executive survey, more than 75 percent of respondents agree that these shifts are likely to occur, and more than 60 percent believe that they are likely to occur within the next five years. The economic fallout from the COVID-19 pandemic looks set to accelerate them.

Product-based approach. In the future, an increasing share of structures and surrounding services will be delivered and marketed as standardized “products.” This includes developers promoting branded offerings,
with standardized but customizable designs that can improve from one product generation to the next, and delivery using modularized elements and standardized components produced in off-site factories. The modules and elements will be shipped and assembled on site. Production will consist of assembly line–like processes in safe, nonhostile environments with a large degree of repeatability.5

Specialization. To improve their margins and levels of differentiation, companies will start to specialize in target niches and segments (such as luxury single-family housing, multistory residential buildings, hospitals, or processing plants) in which they can build competitive advantages. And they will specialize in using different materials, subsegments, or methods of construction. The shift toward specialization will also require companies to develop and retain knowledge and capabilities to maintain their competitive advantages. Obviously, players will need to weigh carefully the effectiveness, efficiency, and brand positioning that greater specialization enables against the potential risk or cyclicality benefits of a more diversified portfolio.

Value-chain control and integration with industrial-grade supply chains. Companies will move to own or control important activities along the value chain, such as design and engineering, select-component manufacturing, supply-chain management, and on-site assembly. Companies will be able to achieve this goal through vertical integration or strategic alliances and partnerships by using collaborative contracting and more closely aligned incentives. Digital technology will change the interaction model: BIM models will lead to more decision making early on in the process, distribution will move toward online platforms and advanced logistics management, and end-to-end software platforms will allow companies to better control and integrate value and supply chains. Value-chain control or integration will reduce interface frictions and make innovation more agile.

Consolidation. Growing needs for specialization and investments in innovation—including the use of new materials, digitalization, technology and facilities, and human resources—will require significantly larger scale than is common today. As product-based approaches, with higher standardization and repeatability, further increase the importance of gaining scale, the industry is likely to increasingly see a significant degree of consolidation, both within specific parts of the value chain and across the value chain.

Customer-centricity and branding. With productization—that is, turning development, engineering, or construction services into easy-to-market products or solutions6—and specialization in the industry, having a compelling brand that represents an organization’s distinctive attributes and values will take on added importance. As in traditional consumer industries, a strong brand can tie customers more closely to the construction company’s or supplier’s products and help to build and maintain relationships and attract new customers. Similar to brands in other manufacturing industries, such construction brands will encompass, among other aspects, product and service quality, value, timing of delivery, reliability, service offerings, and warranties.

Investment in technology and facilities. Productization implies a need to build off-site factories, which requires investments in plants, manufacturing machinery and equipment (such as robotics to automate manufacturing), and technology. Where modular is not used, the construction site also will likely become more capital intensive, using advanced automation equipment and drones, among other technologies. R&D investment will become more important for specialized or more productized companies, so companies are likely to increase spending to develop new, innovative products and technologies.

Investment in human resources. Innovation, digitalization, value-chain control, technology use, and specialization in end-use segments all increase the importance of developing and retaining in-house
expertise, which will compel players to invest more in human resources. The importance of risk management and other current capabilities will decrease and be replaced by an emphasis on others, such as supply-chain management. To build the necessary capabilities, companies will need to further invest in their workforces. This becomes even more important in light of the transition to the future of work. Most incumbents struggle to attract the digital talent they need, and will need to raise excitement about their future business models.

**Internationalization.** Greater standardization will lower the barriers to operating across geographies. As scale becomes increasingly important to gaining competitive advantages, players will increase their global footprints—both for low-volume projects in high-value segments such as infrastructure, as well as for winning repeatable products that will be in demand across the world. The COVID-19 pandemic might slow down this development.

**Sustainability.** While sustainability is an important decision factor already, we are only at the very beginning of an increasingly rapid development. Beyond the carbon-abatement discussions, physical climate risks are already growing and require a response. Companies will need to consider the environmental impact when sourcing materials, manufacturing will become more sustainable (for example, using electric machinery), and supply chains will be optimized for sustainability as well as resilience. In addition, the working environments will need to radically change from hostile to nonhostile, making construction safer. Water consumption, dust, noise, and waste are also critical factors.

Today’s project-based construction process looks set to shift radically to a product-based approach (Exhibit B). Instead of building uniquely designed structures on the jobsite, companies will conduct their production at off-site construction facilities. Standardized sub-elements and building blocks will likely be designed in house in R&D-like functions. The elements will be manufactured separately and then combined with customization options to meet bespoke requirements. To produce efficiently and learn through repetition, developers, manufacturers, and contractors will need to specialize in end-user segments. Data-driven business models will emerge. Overall, the process may resemble manufacturing in other industries such as shipbuilding or car manufacturing.

There is reason to believe that a winner-take-most dynamic will emerge, and companies that fail to adjust fast enough risk seeing market shares and margins erode until they eventually go out of business.

Construction is not the first industry to encounter lagging productivity and disruption across the value chain. Lessons can be learned from others that had similar traits and encountered the same challenges that construction faces now. We have analyzed shifts in four of them: shipbuilding, commercial aircraft manufacturing, agriculture, and car manufacturing. Clear patterns of the shifts are evident in all of them, and value shifted to those handling the change best. Innovation in production technology and new work methods kick-started all four of the industries’ journeys. Today, across industries, winners continue to heavily invest in technology, many with focus on digitalization and data-driven products and services.

In commercial aircraft manufacturing, for example, the industry landscape was highly fragmented. Each airplane was built from scratch in a bespoke and project-based-manufacturing setup. Industrialization sparked a shift toward assembly-line manufacturing, which later became highly automated. As a result of the subsequent standardization, the industry entered a phase of consolidation that led to the rise of two major players: Airbus and Boeing. The transformation resulted in a significant shift of value to customers. This transformation journey took roughly 30 years to complete, as commercial aircraft manufacturing faced barriers to change similar to those now confronting construction.
The future construction ecosystem will be radically different.

Today’s construction ecosystem
A highly complex, fragmented, and project-based construction process...

The construction process is highly project based—developed from unique customer specifications, using designs planned from scratch, and with limited degree of repetition.

The value chain and player landscape are local and highly fragmented vertically and horizontally, resulting in a multitude of players involved at each step and major interface frictions.

Construction is performed by generalists on site in hostile environments, with a large part of the workforce being temporary and manual.

Limited use of end-to-end digital tools and processes as well as a capital-light delivery approach.

The construction ecosystem of the future
... A more standardized, consolidated, and integrated construction process.

The construction process is increasingly product based, meaning structures will be products and manufactured off site by branded product houses specializing in certain end-user segments.

Developers choose entire designs or specific components from a library of options developed in house or offered externally on the market.

Value chain is more consolidated, both vertically (delayering) and horizontally, with increased degree of internationalization.

Disintermediation takes place through digital marketplaces and direct channels.

Contractors focus on lean, on-site execution and assembly of products.

Data and analytics on customer behavior generated after completion to optimize total cost of ownership and future designs.
Almost half of incumbent value added is at stake
The transformation of the industry will create both large opportunities and sizable risks as value and profit pools shift in the next 15 years. Over the past years, approximately $11 trillion in value added and $1.5 trillion in profits have been unevenly distributed along the construction value chain and across all asset classes. Looking ahead, up to 45 percent of incumbent value may be at stake in those parts of the market most heavily affected by shifts, such as hotel construction (Exhibit C). Of this total, 20 to 30 percentage points will be kept and redistributed within the ecosystem to enable the shifts to take place. The remaining 15 to 20 percentage points will be value up for grabs as a result of the cost savings and productivity gains generated by the shifts, with the benefits accruing to players or customers (in the form of price reductions or quality increase). If that value is captured fully by players in the ecosystem, total profit pools could nearly double, to 10 percent, from the current 5 percent. Players that move fast and manage to radically outperform their competitors could grab the lion’s share of the $265 billion in new profit pools.

Some players will be more affected than others. For example, software providers are expected to significantly increase their value-added contribution, albeit from a small base of 1 to 2 percent of the value chain. Also, a large share of value is expected to move from construction job sites to off-site prefabrication facilities. In contrast, general and specialized contractors could face a large decline unless they reposition themselves as companies that go beyond execution alone. Basic design and engineering and materials distribution and logistics may face substantial commoditization and automation risks.

The value at stake could benefit either the players in the ecosystem as profits increase, workers in the form of higher wages, or customers through lower prices and higher quality. Companies that move fast and manage to lower their cost base and increase productivity will have an advantage over the competition. These early movers could translate their productivity gains into profit. In the long term, as other players adjust and competition intensifies, the dynamics in other industries suggest that a large share of the gains will be passed on to customers.

Our baseline scenario estimates that 10 to 12 percent of construction activities will move along shifts outlined in this report by 2035, but change will vary significantly by asset class because of different starting points and abilities to transform. In real estate, for example, we expect that by 2036 an additional 15 percent of new building projects could be completed through a redesigned value chain. This higher-than-average number is partly the result of the potential for standardization in single- and multifamily residential, hotels, offices, and hospitals. For infrastructure, approximately 7 percent of additional new building volume could be delivered in a transformed way—with bridges, airports, and railways, for example, having particular potential. Industrial construction could see an additional penetration of about 5 percent, as several of its subsegments have already made significant progress in the past.

Transformation will take time, but the COVID-19 crisis will accelerate change
The full transformation of the construction industry could take decades, but the process has already begun. Our survey shows that industry leaders largely agree that the shifts outlined in this report are likely to occur at scale within the next five to ten years, and that the COVID-19 crisis will accelerate shifts.

Our executive survey of 400 decision makers in November and December 2019 found that the attitudes of executives have evolved materially since three to five years ago (see sidebar “About the executive survey” in chapter 1 for more details on the survey). In all, 90 percent of the respondents strongly believe that the industry needs to change and that this sentiment has grown in the past ten years. Eighty percent also believe that the construction industry will look radically different 20 years from now.
Exhibit C

Forty to 45 percent of value pools are expected to shift and impact all players along the value chain.

Example of fully productized value chain (eg, real estate new build), current and future value pools, p.p.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Value at risk</th>
<th>Remaining value added</th>
<th>Value shifted</th>
<th>Value captured</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Value pools, $bn,</td>
<td>Value shifted, $bn,</td>
<td>Expected shift in value pools, per player type</td>
<td>Expected implications to construction ecosystem value pools</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0–100</td>
<td>900–1,300</td>
<td>1,200–1,600</td>
<td>1,500–1,800</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0–30</td>
<td>350–450</td>
<td>90–110</td>
<td>150–180</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

1 Calculated by applying an assessed share of total value of development of output per asset class, allocated on top of total market output, since a limited number of stand-alone, pure-player developers have been identified.
2 Looking at players processing raw materials but not the actual manufacturing of raw materials (eg, mining). If all steps of producing and refining raw materials were included, the value pool would be ~2.5x bigger.
3 Adjusted downward to reflect that some things materials distributors sell don't contribute to construction output (eg, clothes, white goods).
4 General builders (buildings and other heavy construction).
5 Specialized trade construction.
6 Defined as value added per player type.
7 EBIT pools.
8 Source: CapitalIQ; Euroconstruct; FMI; McKinsey analysis
Beyond our analysis and the overwhelming beliefs of the surveyed executives, we see signs today that the industry had already started to change before the COVID-19 crisis began. For instance, adoption of product-based approaches is increasing. In North America, the permanent modular-construction market share of new real-estate construction projects grew by approximately 51 percent from 2015 to 2018, and revenues for the segment grew (from a small base of $2 billion) by a factor of 2.4 over the same period. Also, emerging players as well as incumbents are already seeking to control a larger part of the value chain; Katerra, for instance, used new technology to control the value chain, including design and engineering and off-site manufacturing. Indicators suggest the construction industry is increasing its emphasis on R&D, and companies that have invested in construction technology and facilities are gaining traction. Global R&D spending by the top 2,500 construction companies grew by 77 percent from 2013 to 2017.

The COVID-19 crisis looks set to accelerate change (Exhibit D). We conducted an additional survey in early May 2020 to understand the potential implications of the crisis on the disruptions and shifts outlined in the report. Respondents comprised 100 decision makers out of the same sample that responded to our first survey. Nearly two-thirds of respondents believe that the COVID-19 crisis will accelerate industry transformation, and half have already raised investment in line with the shifts. Investments in digitalization and supply-chain control are most pronounced, while respondents believe the crisis will slow down internationalization and the rise of new entrants—giving incumbents a rare opportunity to step in and drive change.

All players must prepare now for a fundamentally different next normal

Our research shows that leaders leave laggards behind in times of crisis. Those that go beyond managing their survival to take fast, bold, strategic action tend to emerge as the winners. During past economic cycles, companies that managed to move quickly to improve their productivity (for example, reducing

Exhibit D

Two-thirds of survey respondents believe that the COVID-19 crisis will accelerate industry transformation.

As a result of COVID-19, do you believe that transformation of the construction industry will accelerate, stay the same, or slow down?

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Share of respondents, %</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Significantly slow down</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Slow down</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Stay the same</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Accelerate</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

As a result of COVID-19, has your company increased overall investments to adapt to the new future?

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Share of respondents, %</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>No</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Yes</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Increased investments to adapt to the new future

More than 50% of respondents’ companies have started to invest more to adjust to the new future

Source: Survey of 100 industry CxOs, May 2020
In the face of this transformation, companies all along the value chain need to review where they want to play.

their cost of goods sold through operational efficiency), divest earlier and are more acquisitive during the recovery. They cleaned up their balance sheets ahead of a downturn and outperformed competition in both revenues and earnings before interest, depreciation, taxes, and amortization (EBITDA).

Players in the ecosystem will need to develop strategies to deal with the disruption ahead. Our survey respondents identified four types of players set to face the largest long-term decline: design and engineering firms, materials distributors, general contractors, and specialist contractors. Furthermore, respondents believe that general contractors will be required to move first, as they could experience commoditization and a declining share of value.

In the face of this transformation, companies all along the value chain need to review where they want to play: which asset classes, segments, geographies, and value-chain steps. They will need to assess the impact of each of the disruptions and the nine shifts, decide how they want to act on them, and define new-business models and operating models in line with those decisions. This process is critical whether they aim to defend their core business and adjust to the new environment or fundamentally reinvent themselves and attack. For success, it will be critical for companies to invest in a set of enablers, such as agile organizations. Finally, companies can choose how to implement the new strategy and transformation, whether it’s trying to evolve incumbent operations to work within the new setup, starting up new divisions or arm’s-length operations, or applying targeted M&A.

In the materials-distribution and logistics segment, for instance, off-site manufacturing facilities will shift demand for shipments to factory hubs, the main logistics nodes, which will increase customer expectations for just-in-time delivery. The segment will be further reshaped by online and direct sales channels (including new competition from online-distribution behemoths), rising customer expectations, and increased use of technologies such as advanced analytics or automated warehouses. A shift in procurement activity, from small specialized trades firms to larger contractors, will affect companies’ bargaining power, and internationalization will enable companies to source more from low-cost countries.

In response, companies could try to defend their core by, for instance, focusing on the refurbishment market, becoming leaner, and undertaking category reviews. They could adjust to the changing
environment by, for example, strengthening customer relationships, offering new business solutions to avoid disintermediation, consolidating to gain scale, and developing industrial-grade supply-chain capabilities. Reinvention would entail becoming the logistics hub of the future construction landscape. Strategies could include partnering closely with off-site manufacturers and materials suppliers to optimize logistics and inventory according to their needs, helping with international sourcing, or offering credit financing. 11

Companies that familiarize themselves with the next normal and move quickly will be best positioned to both create value and maintain their competitive edge.

Organizations that are adjacent to the construction ecosystem should look to facilitate—and benefit from—the coming changes. Investors are well advised to use foresight to anticipate the respective shifts and generate above-market returns. Insurance companies are already factoring use of modern methods of construction into their terms. Policy makers should help the industry become more productive and thereby attain better housing and infrastructure for citizens. And building owners stand to benefit from better structures at lower costs if they play their part in making the shifts happen.

Construction is already in the perfect storm. Industrialization, globalization, and digitalization have been key drivers of change in all industries. While this change happened in sequential waves—for example, in auto industrialization in the 1970s and 1980s, globalization in the 1990s and 2000s, and digitalization in the 2010s and ongoing—all of these drivers are hitting construction simultaneously. It is a daunting task and will require bold and agile moves to maneuver, but the size of the prize is enormous.
The construction industry, which encompasses real estate, infrastructure, and industrial structures, is the largest industry in the global economy, accounting for 13 percent of the world’s GDP (Exhibit 1). A closer look at its underlying performance highlights the industry’s challenges in good economic times, let alone in times of crisis. We expect a set of nine shifts to radically change the way construction is done. Companies that can adjust their business models stand to benefit handsomely, while others may struggle to survive.

Historically, the construction industry has underperformed

The construction industry, which encompasses real estate, infrastructure, and industrial structures, is the largest industry in the global economy, accounting for 13 percent of the world’s GDP (Exhibit 1). A closer look at its underlying performance highlights the industry’s challenges in good economic times, let alone in times of crisis. We expect a set of nine shifts to radically change the way construction is done. Companies that can adjust their business models stand to benefit handsomely, while others may struggle to survive.

Poor long-term performance stems from unfavorable market characteristics and industry dynamics

Construction is responsible for a wide range of impressive accomplishments, from stunning cityscapes and foundational infrastructure on a massive scale to sustained innovation. However, in the past couple of decades, it has been plagued by dismal performance, characterized by lagging productivity growth, limited digitalization, frequent insolvencies, and low levels of customer satisfaction. The industry will feel the economic impact of COVID-19 strongly, as will the wider construction ecosystem—which includes construction companies’ suppliers, distributors, customers, and competitors, as well as government regulators.

Lagging productivity growth. The industry’s global annual labor productivity growth over the past 20 years was less than 1 percent, which is significantly less than the productivity growth of the global economy, approximately 2.8 percent a year.
Slow innovation and digitalization. A low degree of standardization combined with a fragmented value chain provides low barriers to entry, and has resulted in limited economies of scale, which impede innovation and digitalization. A 2015 McKinsey Global Institute (MGI) analysis found that the construction industry was among the least digitized industries in the total economy across assets, usage, and labor. Innovation is further hampered, understandably, by risk aversion and limited margins. For example, building-information modeling (BIM) adoption rates have reached just 60 to 70 percent in 35 years. For comparison, many technologies (such as cloud customer relationship management, magnetic resonance imaging, laparoscopic surgery, lithium-ion batteries, and microwaves) reached an adoption rate of 90 percent in eight to 28 years from when they became commercially available.

Low profits and high risks despite strong growth. The industry’s overall profitability is about 5 percent, and lower in certain parts of the value chain. The construction industry frequently tops insolvency lists across geographies because of a combination of low profitability and high risk. According to a global Euler Hermes analysis, construction was the industry with the most major insolvencies in the first three quarters of 2018, approximately 5 percent higher than the second-worst sector, and 70 percent higher than the third. Construction topped a UK insolvency list in the first quarter of 2019, with approximately 3,000 insolvencies over the previous 12 months. Valuation multiples are significantly below the average—EV/EBITDA multiple
Low productivity growth: Less than 1.0 percent per year for the industry over the past 20 years, versus 2.8 percent for the total economy.

for the engineering and construction sector has averaged 5.8 over the past ten years, compared with 12.4 for the S&P 500.

Low customer satisfaction and regular time and budget overruns. A 2016 McKinsey analysis found that construction projects typically take 20 percent longer to finish than scheduled and are up to 80 percent over budget, frequently resulting in litigation. That often leaves customers dissatisfied, resulting in complex and time-consuming claims processes.

For these reasons, awareness was growing even before the COVID-19 crisis struck that the ecosystem must transform to meet the challenges ahead. Our industry survey found that the attitudes of CxOs have evolved materially since three to five years ago (see sidebar “About the executive survey”). In all, 90 percent of executives strongly believe that the industry needs to change and that this sentiment has grown in the past ten years. Eighty percent also believe that the construction industry will look radically different 20 years from now.

Low customer satisfaction: Typical schedule overrun of 20 percent for large construction projects
About the executive survey

Our survey of construction industry leaders was conducted from November to December 2019. Respondents comprised 400 decision makers, the majority of whom were CxOs, owners, and executives, who were distributed over asset classes and geographies as well as across the value chain (exhibit).

Specifically, 63 percent of the respondents were from the real-estate sector; 19 percent, infrastructure; 18 percent, industrial. The geographies break down in the following way: 47 percent are from North America; 39 percent, Europe; 11 percent, Asia-Pacific (APAC); 2 percent, Middle East and Africa; and 2 percent, Latin America.

We asked questions and let respondents react to our initial hypotheses on the industry, such as on the most important issues in construction today, the change factors that will have the largest impact on the industry, and the most significant disruptions. We also included questions on the future of the construction industry, such as which shifts outlined in this report are most likely to occur, when they will affect the industry at scale, which players will need to move first, and which players will decline the most in the coming ten years.

Given that the COVID-19 crisis was unfolding during the publishing process of this report, an additional survey was conducted in early May 2020 to help us understand the potential implications of the crisis on accelerating the disruptions and shifts outlined in the report. Respondents comprised 100 decision makers from the same sample that responded to the first survey in November and December 2019, with similar distribution over asset classes and geographies as well as across the value chain.

Exhibit

The distribution of survey respondents to our initial survey represents a fair view of the setup of the industry today.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Distribution across asset classes, share of respondents, %</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Real estate</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Infrastructure</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Industrial</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Distribution across geographies, share of respondents, %</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>North America</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Europe</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>APAC (Asia-Pacific)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Middle East and Africa</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Latin America</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Distribution across the value chain, share of respondents, %</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>General contracting</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Design and engineering</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Development</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Material distribution</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Specialist contracting</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Component manufacturing</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Basic-materials manufacturing</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Machinery manufacturing</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ownership</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Financing</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Machinery rental</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Software supply</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Source: McKinsey survey of 400 construction-industry CxOs; expert interviews; McKinsey analysis
Rough seas lie ahead for the construction ecosystem. Beyond the economic downturn that will reduce construction demand, the COVID-19 crisis has also shocked supply chains and may lead to lasting shifts in investment patterns (see sidebar “The impact of the COVID-19 crisis on the construction industry’s outlook”).

How companies respond determines industry dynamics and outcomes

The lagging performance of the construction industry is a direct result of the fundamental rules and characteristics of the construction market and the industry dynamics that occur in response to them (Exhibit 2). Our analysis identified the following three broad market characteristics that are now influencing the external environment:

Cyclical demand with bespoke customer requirements

The industry benefits from robust long-term demand, thanks to economic and population growth and rising urbanization around the world. That demand is highly sensitive to economic cycles, however, leading to low capital investment that slows productivity growth. A fragmented market includes customers ranging from individual single-family homeowners making once-in-a-lifetime purchasing decisions to administrators in

The impact of the COVID-19 crisis on the construction industry’s outlook

The economic impact of COVID-19 will be felt strongly throughout the ecosystem. At the time of writing, high levels of economic uncertainty prevail worldwide. MGI scenarios suggest that if things go well, the virus is contained within months, and the right economic policies are implemented, economic activity could be back to pre-crisis levels by early 2021. But longer-term lockdowns, even if intermittent, or other severe restrictions that last until a vaccination is developed, could throw the economy into a severe and sustained downturn—with economic activity returning to 2019 levels only in 2024 or even later.1

The construction industry is typically significantly more volatile than the overall economy, and it might benefit from public stimulus programs. As economic activity recedes, there is less need for new commercial or industrial structures, uncertainty dampens investment, and income losses and lower consumer confidence hurt housing construction. As the value of structures in an economy closely tracks GDP, the need for new construction activity is also highly sensitive to GDP growth in longer-term models. A slump as long as five years could substantially reduce construction’s share of GDP beyond the initial contraction, even though this crisis is, in contrast to the global financial recession of 2008–09, not primarily a real-estate crisis.

On the upside, unprecedented public stimulus packages passed by Congress could not only help support a V-shaped recovery but also be followed by public investment programs.

COVID-19 also represents a shock to supply. Migrant labor cannot always cross borders, construction workers cannot always get to or work at jobsites, and all employees will need to act in line with clear protocols for some time. Some building-materials supply chains are interrupted.

As economic activity resumes, we may find that the demand for structures has changed permanently. It is too early to judge whether changes in preferences—such as shopping online rather than at malls, employees preferring to work remotely and thus reducing the need for office space, or different airline-terminals or residential layouts—will be lasting. But it is important to monitor such developments closely.

city governments overseeing billion-dollar infrastructure projects. Inexperienced or unsophisticated owners often lack the experience and competence to navigate the industry landscape. Further, many projects ask for a significant degree of customization, and small projects and renovations account for a majority of industry revenues. Local-market structures and land peculiarities result in a geographically dispersed industry, with few companies achieving global scale.

### Exhibit 2

**Market characteristics have shaped an industry response with unfavorable outcomes.**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Market characteristics</th>
<th>Industry dynamics</th>
<th>Outcomes</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Customer demand</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Strong yet cyclical demand with bespoke customer requirements</td>
<td>A project-based building approach—performed on site</td>
<td>Lagging productivity growth</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cyclical but latent unmet long-term demand</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fragmented and often unsophisticated owner landscape</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bespoke requirements</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>High share of refurbishment work</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Geographical dispersion and land peculiarities</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Construction inputs and characteristics</strong></td>
<td>A highly fragmented ecosystem, both vertically and horizontally, with lack of collaboration and under-investment in planning</td>
<td>Slow innovation and digitalization</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Complex nature of construction and logistics, high share of manual work on site, and low barriers to entry</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Products are complex and built to survive decades</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>High volume/weight and complex logistics</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>High share of manual work and blue collar in workforce</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Shortage of skilled workers</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Considerable environmental impact</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Low barriers to entry (mainly contractors)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Market rules and regulations</strong></td>
<td>Misaligned contractual structures and incentives, where claims and passing on risks often trump customer and supplier relationships</td>
<td>Low profits and high risks despite strong and stable growth</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Extensive and local regulation</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Complex and extensive regulation</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Local building codes</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Prone to informality and corruption</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lowest price rules prevail</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Use of contractors and temporary staff, with limited long-term investment and customer-relationship building</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Low customer satisfaction and regular time and budget overruns</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Complex nature of construction and logistics, much manual work on site, and low barriers to entry

Construction projects have become increasingly complex, with a growing share of megaprojects that are above $1 billion. The size and weight of materials and components entail a regionally fragmented setup. Logistics are further complicated by the large number and variety of components and suppliers as well as the delivery by separate parties of different subcomponents of the same products.

Although projects increasingly require more experience and skill to execute, construction work still relies on a large share of manual work being completed by a largely blue-collar workforce. Construction firms typically grapple with a significant shortage of skilled labor, which hampers both existing operations and innovations such as digitalization. Our survey highlighted the labor shortage as one of the main issues in the construction industry, with around 85 percent of respondents in our survey saying pre-crisis that the issue is highly important, although the coming economic turmoil could change that.

Low barriers to entry and a significant share of informal labor allow small and unproductive companies to compete.

Extensive and local regulation

The construction industry is extensively regulated, subject to everything from permits and approvals to safety and work-site controls. As each geography has its own local building codes, companies that operate in multiple geographies must educate themselves on each market, which makes standardizing products, materials, or processes more difficult. In many geographies, the public sector mandates lowest-price rules in tenders, making competition based on quality, reliability, or alternative design offerings more complicated. Such codes and regulations reflect the industry’s aversion to risk, as structures need to hold up for decades—or even centuries—and any deficiencies can lead to fatal accidents. However, the regulatory landscape has the potential to change if the industry fundamentals are reformed.

In response to these three broad market characteristics, today’s construction industry must grapple with several dynamics that add to the industry’s complexity, impeding its productivity and making changes more difficult. Those dynamics include the following:

A project-based building approach

Customers want—and seem willing to pay for—bespoke projects with unique features, and structures need to be designed for the natural environments they are in. The challenge is that such projects have a limited degree of repeatability and standardization and require the coordination of companies across the value chain. This dynamic is a main cause of the industry’s complexity and low productivity growth. There are obviously differences across asset classes.

A highly fragmented ecosystem

Local market structures and ease of entry have resulted in a fragmented landscape of mostly small companies with limited economies of scale. Moreover, the project-based construction process involves many steps with scattered accountability and a multitude of active entities in every project—from several specialist engineering and planning companies to multiple subcontractors and sub-subcontractors and myriad material suppliers. Since the level of collaboration across the value chain is low, the result is a siloed ecosystem where companies tend to manage their own risk and frictions at the interfaces are high.

Misaligned contractual structures and incentives

The multitude of stakeholders in a project rarely collaborate well because of misaligned incentives. Owners
often tender projects at the lowest cost and pass on risks such as soil properties or rising prices for materials that they might better handle or absorb themselves. Engineers are often paid as a percentage of total construction cost, limiting their desire to apply design-to-cost and design-to-constructability practices. General contractors are often only able to make profits via claims, so rather than highlighting design issues early in a project they often prefer charging for change orders later. Incentives and discounts from distributors and material suppliers to subcontractors obscure material prices.

**Extensive use of contractors and temporary staff**

Cyclicality and the project-based approach to building create high volatility in activity from day to day. Materials and components can arrive at sites late, and companies must manage restrictions on activities that can be performed only during certain hours of the day. Rather than solving these underlying issues, construction firms rely on temporary staff and subcontractors—which hampers productivity, limits economies of scale, and reduces output quality and customer satisfaction. Furthermore, the need for temporary staff is often solved by contracting foreign workers, which can result in additional language challenges.

All of these market characteristics and industry dynamics are interconnected, so the construction industry must change the underlying fundamentals to meet the challenges ahead. To date, the wider industry response has been to maintain the status quo, which is the root cause of many of the challenges mentioned above that construction companies are facing. However, executives recognize the necessity to adapt. Our survey found 90 percent of respondents strongly believe that the industry needs to change—and that this need has increased over the past ten years (Exhibit 3).

**Exhibit 3**

**Industry leaders expect change.**

**Survey of 400 industry CxOs across asset classes, geographies, and value chain, share of respondents, %**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Do you think that there is a need for change in the construction industry setup and the current ways of working?</th>
<th>Yes</th>
<th>No</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>90</td>
<td>10</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Do you think that the need for change in the construction industry setup and the current ways of working is higher compared to five to ten years ago?</th>
<th>Yes</th>
<th>No</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>90</td>
<td>10</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Source: McKinsey survey of 400 construction-industry CxOs; expert interviews; McKinsey analysis*
A changing market environment, technological progress, and disruptive new entrants will trigger industry overhaul

The construction industry was starting to experience an unprecedented rate of disruption before the COVID-19 pandemic. In the coming years, fundamental change is likely to be catalyzed by changes in market characteristics, and emerging disruptions will shape the future dynamics of the industry (Exhibit 4). The COVID-19 crisis amplifies these dynamics.

Evolving market characteristics will be a catalyst for change
Throughout the industry, several evolving market characteristics—including the following—will challenge construction companies to highlight both the heightened need for change and the pace at which it must occur.

1. Rising customer sophistication and total-cost-of-ownership (TCO) pressure
The industry has seen an influx of capital from more savvy customers. From 2014 to 2019, for example, private-equity firms raised more than $388 billion for infrastructure projects, including $100 billion in 2019.
alone, a 24 percent increase from 2018. Institutional investment in multifamily homes as an asset class has also soared. Because these investors will have different expectations of everything from delivery times to budgets, construction companies will have to be prepared for that level of engagement.

Client expectations regarding performance are evolving: smart buildings, energy and operational efficiency, and flexibility of structures will become higher priorities. Our survey found that 69 percent of respondents believe that the transition of customers toward TCO would have a major impact on the construction industry, and more than 90 percent expect this shift to occur in the next ten years.

Our analysis suggests the industry will see increased cost pressure from gaps in infrastructure and affordable housing. In our survey, 74 percent of respondents believe that a greater focus on costs (mainly in
infrastructure and affordable housing) would have a large impact on the industry. This trend will be felt in the near term: more than 90 percent expect to feel its influence within the next ten years.

McKinsey analysis found that $69.4 trillion in infrastructure investment (at 2018 prices) will be required by 2035 to support expected GDP growth. Investment will need to increase from current levels by 0.3 percentage points of GDP, or an average of approximately $300 billion a year. Government budgets are tight, however, as debt levels have soared during the pandemic. In real estate, our research found that about a third of the global urban population cannot afford a decent place to live. The supply of housing will need to increase—at lower price points. The COVID-19 crisis magnifies cost and affordability issues.

2. Persistent scarcity of skilled labor and changing logistics equations
The shortage of qualified workers has become a major issue in several markets. Our survey, which was conducted before the COVID-19 outbreak, shows that 87 percent of respondents believe that skilled-labor scarcity will have a high impact on the industry, and almost 50 percent of respondents expect that it will become even more of an issue at scale over the next two decades (Exhibit 5). For example, about 41 percent of the current US construction workforce is expected to retire by 2031. The impact the COVID-19 crisis will have on this dynamic in the long term is unclear at the time of writing.

New, lighter-weight materials and structurally stronger modules will change the logistics equation and allow longer-haul transport of materials and modules, and hence greater centralization.

3. Sustainability and safety regulation and possible standardization of building codes
Our survey revealed that 69 percent of the respondents believe that stricter regulation on work-site sustainability and safety would affect the industry. While 90 percent expect sustainability and safety to make a significant impact in the next ten years, 19 percent believe the shift will occur far more rapidly—potentially within the next year. Because of COVID-19, new health and safety procedures will be required for some time.

The global conversation about climate change, exemplified by the implementation of UN sustainability targets, will compel construction companies and materials suppliers to factor sustainability into their products, construction processes, and designs. The current pace of urbanization will require significant investment in infrastructure and housing to accommodate regional population shifts, highlighting the need for urban sustainability. A 2015 McKinsey analysis found that green districts (densely populated areas located in a city that use technologies and design elements to reduce resource use and pollution) can reduce energy consumption by 20 to 40 percent and freshwater consumption and wastewater production by up to 65 percent.

The physical impact of climate change will shape demand (through mass migration and physical mitigation such as flood defense), while construction will come under pressure to mitigate carbon emissions. For example, cement production currently accounts for 8 percent of global carbon emissions, according to the Royal Institute of International Affairs. The rate of new regulations could also accelerate in line with the perceived threat.

Indications are emerging that regulations and incentives are changing to accommodate modern methods of construction, enabling more standardization across the building process. One such method is modular construction. Type certificates can replace individual on-site approvals to alleviate the shift, or governments can actively mandate modern methods of construction. For example, all government housing projects in Singapore must use prefinished volumetric modules.
Emerging disruptions will fuel the transformation of the value chain

The demands put on the construction ecosystem have continued to change, but the fundamental business models and approaches of most players have not. The result has been instability in the ecosystem and difficulty meeting growing demand efficiently. In addition, the compounding effects of new production technologies and materials, digitalization of processes and products, and new breeds of players across the value chain have the potential to radically transform the construction industry.

Industrialization

Advancements in technology have enabled industrialization and a shift toward an off-site, product-based approach. A more controlled environment will be even more valuable for the duration of the COVID-19 pandemic.

Exhibit 5

The industry believes that market characteristics will change at scale.

Which of these changes in market characteristics do you think will have the highest impact on the construction industry?

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Change</th>
<th>Share of respondents rating changes in characteristics “high impact,” %</th>
<th>When do you think the changes in market characteristics will impact at scale? Share of respondents, %</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Skilled labor is becoming increasingly scarce and expensive</td>
<td>87</td>
<td>Within one year: 49, 1–10 years: 46, 10–20 years: 5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>There is an increasing focus on costs, notably due to infrastructure and affordable-housing gaps</td>
<td>74</td>
<td>Within one year: 15, 1–10 years: 81, 10–20 years: 4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Regulations on work-site safety and sustainability are becoming increasingly strict</td>
<td>69</td>
<td>Within one year: 19, 1–10 years: 76, 10–20 years: 6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Customers are valuing digitally enabled “smart” buildings (eg, using IoT), better energy and operational efficiency, and more flexibility in structures for changing future use</td>
<td>69</td>
<td>Within one year: 11, 1–10 years: 81, 10–20 years: 7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>There is a higher emphasis from customers on sustainability in the industry today</td>
<td>87</td>
<td>Within one year: 14, 1–10 years: 77, 10–20 years: 9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>There is an increasing share of larger and “smarter” investors entering construction today, with higher requirements on project delivery and scale</td>
<td>57</td>
<td>Within one year: 5, 1–10 years: 85, 10–20 years: 7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Regulations and building codes are changing and becoming harmonized within or across countries to enable a standardized construction approach</td>
<td>55</td>
<td>Within one year: 9, 1–10 years: 80, 10–20 years: 11</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Almost 90% of the industry believe that a further shortage of skilled labor would have a high impact on construction... …and almost half of respondents think that this will happen within the coming year

1 High impact equals a 7 or higher (where 10 is highest impact). Figures may not sum to 100%, because of rounding.

Source: McKinsey survey of 400 construction-industry CxOs; expert interviews; McKinsey analysis
pandemic. Elements include a production system that uses increasingly modular components, automated manufacturing, and robotics-supported on-site execution.

Modularization has the potential to enable standardization and drastically improve productivity in construction. Prefabrication and off-site manufacturing have been around for a long time in construction, but the combination of lighter-weight materials and digital planning and production technologies could enable the industry to attain new levels of quality, variability, and efficiency. A 2018 survey of UK home builders found that 40 percent of the respondents were already investing in manufacturing facilities or intended to do so in the near future. In Scandinavia, 45 percent of housing is currently built using off-site manufacturing.20

The next step in the transition to efficient off-site manufacturing involves integrating automated production systems—essentially making construction more like automotive manufacturing. Parts of the industry are already moving in this direction. Modular construction firm Lindbäcks uses Randek’s industrial construction machinery to perform manufacturing tasks such as nailing, milling of openings, sheet cutting, and gluing.

On-site execution that uses automation technology could become significantly more efficient. Construction has already started to explore automation in three areas: additive construction (3-D printing), autonomous navigation technology for construction machinery enabled by light detection and ranging (LiDAR, a remote-sensing method for examining the Earth’s surface), and robotics and drone technology.

New materials
Increased use of lighter-weight materials, such as light-gauge steel frames and cross-laminated timber, is reducing costs and allowing longer-haul logistics and more centralization in production and distribution. New types of versatile, lighter-weight, and flexible concrete are self-compacting and have a higher viscosity that eliminates vibration and finishing while enabling single-point pouring and more intricate formwork. Other varieties materially reduce carbon footprint. Alternative materials (both structural and nonstructural) are also being developed: for example, ethylene tetrafluoroethylene (ETFE) is 99 percent lighter, stronger, more eco-friendly, better at transmitting light, and more flexible than glass.

Digitalization of products, design, processes, and channels
Digital technologies are enabling better collaboration, greater control of the value chain, and a shift toward more data-driven decision making. In turn, companies are adopting 5-D building-information modeling (BIM), advanced analytics, and digital-procurement and supply-chain management throughout their organizations.

Smart products and operations. Smart buildings and infrastructure that integrate the Internet of Things (IoT) will increase data availability and enable more efficient operations as well as new business models, such as performance-based contracting. IoT sensors and communication technology give companies the ability to track and monitor utilization, energy efficiency, and maintenance needs. By using BIM, owners and operators can create a virtual 3-D model with precise transparency on all components used in a completed building, which can increase efficiency as well as reduce maintenance costs.

Design. BIM has been used in construction for many years now. Over time, additional features and components (such as scheduling and budgeting) have been added to create a full-scale project-management software solution. However, the industry has struggled to adopt and successfully integrate BIM in operations. By using BIM to create a full 3-D model (a “digital twin”) early in the project rather than finishing design once the project is already initiated, companies can improve efficiency and integrate the
design phase with the rest of the value chain. This capability improves coordination and communication with materials and component suppliers and allows early clash detection and design and planning improvements. In a 2017 McKinsey survey, contractors indicated that their expected use of BIM could increase by 50 percent and that they were planning significant investment to expand their BIM programs until 2020.21 Such capabilities will materially change risks in construction projects and put traditional engineering, procurement, and construction (EPC) models into question.

Construction and production processes. Using digital tools can significantly improve on-site collaboration. These solutions include mobile project-management apps and cloud-based project control towers that integrate communication among teams on site and sync with sensors, wearable devices, and desktop machines to constantly track progress and utilization. Advanced analytics can help to further enhance construction efficiency. Industry 4.022 approaches allow greater flexibility in factories and thus more customization of modules. As in other industries, the COVID-19 pandemic is accelerating the integration of digital tools.

Channels. Digital channels are spreading to construction, with the potential to transform interactions for buying and selling goods across the value chain. Online marketplaces, which have optimized supply chains in other industries, could significantly improve the efficiency of buying and selling goods along the construction value chain and improve interactions—both between customers and suppliers of whole projects as well as among industry participants along the value chain during projects. Digital channels can also radically disrupt distribution and reshape construction logistics. Across the value chain, start-ups have emerged to establish online marketplaces for buying and selling goods such as heavy equipment, construction materials, and professional services. Over the past two years, these marketplaces have received 27 venture-capital funding rounds. This activity represents about 40 percent of the total venture-capital funding rounds to online marketplaces. As in other industries, the COVID-19 pandemic is accelerating the integration of digital tools.

New entrants
Start-ups, incumbent players making new bets, and new funding from venture capital and private equity are disrupting current business models. In addition, a new breed of player, backed by capital from investment funds, is entering the construction industry. Indeed, the number of annual venture-capital funding rounds grew about 30 percent a year from 2012 to 2018. Katerra, for example, raised $1.2 billion to develop a business model based on new technology to control the value chain, including design and engineering and off-site manufacturing. As the economic crisis unfolds, we also expect an increase in corporate restructuring and M&A activity.

In our survey of industry leaders, a majority of the respondents believe that the disruptions outlined in this report would have a large impact on the industry (Exhibit 6). A significant majority expect the shifts to occur in the next five years and to fuel the industry’s transformation journey in the near term. As the COVID-19-propelled economic crisis unfolds, we also expect an increase in corporate restructuring and M&A activity.

Nine shifts will disrupt the construction industry ecosystem
We expect nine shifts to fundamentally change the entire construction ecosystem. According to our executive survey, more than 75 percent of respondents agree that these shifts are likely to occur, and more than 60 percent believe that they are likely to occur within the next five years. The economic fallout from the COVID-19 pandemic looks set to accelerate them.
A refusal to adapt to this upheaval will only worsen performance, while developing new business and operating models could allow companies to generate more value and profit. The new characteristics will combine to erect higher barriers to entry, which will be positive for companies that manage to strengthen their market position and to invest.

**Product-based approach.** In the future, a large share of construction projects will be built using customizable, modularized elements and components produced using standardized processes in off-site factories. The modules and elements will be shipped and assembled on site. Production will consist of assembly line–like processes in safe, nonhostile environments with a large degree of repeatability. In addition, common, industry-wide standards for elements and components may emerge. There will likely be a balance between simple elements and components (manufactured according to common, industry-wide standards) and tailored, customizable ones (such as exteriors) to fit bespoke customer needs.

Each player will develop its own design library of elements and components that can be assembled according to customer requirements. A portion of the market might be composed of prefinished volumetric modules, and customizable, LEGO-like, modularized elements and components could become the industry standard. With this shift, the creativity in designing bespoke products will remain—developers and product manufacturers will collaborate, possibly through strategic alliances, to design products according to unique circumstances.
The product-based approach will not be confined to construction: developers and owners are also likely to increasingly develop and market branded “products” comprising a standardized structure and contractual terms with integrated service offerings.

Digital technologies will be a critical factor in the shift to a product-based approach. Therefore, companies that own the digital model will be able to control the process without actually owning any factories and to price products based on TCO rather than using today’s simple cost-plus approach.

**Specialization.** To improve their margins and levels of differentiation, companies will likely increasingly specialize in target niches and segments (such as luxury single-family housing, multistory residential buildings, hospitals, or processing plants) in which they can build a competitive advantage. And they will specialize in using different materials, subsegments, or methods of construction. The shift toward specialization will also require companies to develop and retain knowledge and capabilities to maintain their competitive advantages. Obviously, players will need to carefully weigh the effectiveness, efficiency, and brand positioning that greater specialization enables against the potential risk and cycle-hedging benefits of a more diversified portfolio.

**Value-chain control and integration with industrial-grade supply chains.** Companies will move to own or control important activities along the value chain, such as design and engineering, select-component manufacturing, supply-chain management, and on-site assembly. Companies will be able to achieve this goal through vertical integration or strategic alliances and partnerships by using collaborative contracting and more closely aligned incentives. Similar to other manufacturing industries, controlling the supply of key components will be critical to securing just-in-time delivery of right-sized inputs to manufacturing as well as the supply of goods to on-site assembly. Digital technology will change the interaction model: BIM models will lead to more decision making early on in the process, distribution will move toward online platforms and logistics management, and end-to-end software platforms will allow companies to better control and integrate value and supply chains. By successfully integrating a five-dimensional BIM model with the value chain, for example, companies will be able to link activities from formulating the initial concept to producing the finished product. Value-chain control or integration will reduce interface frictions and make innovation more agile.

**Consolidation.** Growing needs for specialization and investments in innovation—including the use of new materials, digitalization, technology and facilities, and human resources—will require significantly larger scale than is common today. In addition, larger and more professional investors will seek more sizable, more sophisticated companies to be their counterparties. As product-based approaches, with a greater amount of standardization and repeatability, further increase the importance of gaining scale, the industry is likely to increasingly see a significant degree of consolidation, both within specific parts of the value chain and across the value chain. Globalization will further increase scale effects as future winning products will be fashionable and in demand across the world.

**Customer-centricity and branding.** With productization—that is, turning development, engineering, or construction services into easy-to-market products or solutions—and specialization in the industry, having a compelling brand that represents an organization’s distinctive attributes and values will take on added importance. As in traditional consumer or B2B industries, a strong brand can tie customers more closely to the construction company’s or supplier’s products and help to build and maintain relationships and attract new customers. Similar to brands in other sectors, such a brand will encompass, among other aspects, product and service quality, value, timing of delivery, reliability, service offerings, and warranties.
**Investment in technology and facilities.** Productization implies a need to build off-site factories, which requires investments in plants, manufacturing machinery and equipment (such as robotics to automate manufacturing), and technology. Where modular is not used, the construction site also will likely become more capital intensive, using advanced automation equipment and drones, among other technologies. R&D investment will become more important for specialized or more productized organizations, so companies are likely to increase spending to develop new, innovative products and technologies. All across the value chain, investment in digitalization will continue to rise.

**Investment in human resources.** Innovation, digitalization, value-chain control, technology use, and specialization in end-use segments all increase the importance of developing and retaining in-house expertise, which will compel players to invest more in human resources. In addition, the shifts outlined in this report will likely require companies to reskill their workforce. The importance of risk management and some other current capabilities will decrease and be replaced by an emphasis on others, such as supply-chain management. To build the necessary capabilities, companies will need to invest further in their workforces. This becomes even more important in light of the transition to the future of work. Most incumbents struggle to attract the digital talent they need and will need to raise excitement about their future business models.

**Internationalization.** Greater standardization will lower the barriers to operating across geographies. As scale becomes increasingly important to gaining competitive advantages, players will increase their global footprints—especially for low-volume projects in high-value segments such as infrastructure—although the COVID-19 pandemic might slow down this development.

**Sustainability.** While sustainability is an important decision factor already, we are only at the very beginning of an increasingly rapid development. Beyond the carbon-abatement discussions, physical climate risks grow as the scale increases. Companies will need to consider the environmental impact when sourcing materials, manufacturing will become more sustainable (for example, using electric machinery), and supply chains will be optimized for sustainability as well as resilience. In addition, working environments will need to radically change from hostile to nonhostile, making construction safer. Water consumption, dust, noise, and waste are also critical factors.

The construction process is expected to undertake a radical shift toward an industrialized setup by moving from a project- to a product-based approach (Exhibit 7). The current complex and fragmented construction ecosystem will transition to a more standardized, consolidated, and integrated construction process. Not all parts of the construction industry will be equally affected by the shifts. A large proportion of projects will still be unique, low-volume builds carried out in a conventional manner.

According to our survey, more than 75 percent of respondents believe that each of the nine shifts outlined in this section is likely to occur (Exhibit 8), and a majority of those respondents believe that each of the shifts is likely to make an impact on the industry at scale in the next five years. While 75 percent of respondents indicated that the industrialization shifts (product-based approach, technology and facility, human resources, control of the value chain, and customer-centricity) will occur within the next five years, around 40 percent believe shifts around scale (consolidation, internationalization, and specialization) will occur over the next five to 20 years.
Today’s construction ecosystem (new build): A highly complex, fragmented, and project-based construction process

Each project is designed from scratch by independent design agencies, with limited degree of reusability of previous designs—all to accommodate unique customer specifications.

The logistics process is highly complex and difficult.

The value chain and player landscape are highly fragmented, vertically and horizontally, resulting in a multitude of players involved and a lack of collaboration—hindering innovation and digitalization.

Manufacturers of supplies deliver inputs to construction either directly to clients or through distributors and rental companies.

Construction is performed by contractors on site in hostile environments, with a large part of the work being temporary and manual (contractors and subcontractors). Over project inconsistencies, inputs are often sourced from project to project, which creates large risks.

Buildings are not optimized for TCO, sustainability, or customer needs—there is a missed value focus.

Today’s construction industry is set up in a highly bespoke and project-based building approach, with high complexity, fragmented supply chains. In addition, highly fragmented value chains are misaligned contractual and incentive structures further creating and the use of digital tools.

Rework, changing input parameters and design, and lack of transparency can cause significant delays to projects.

Contractors are subject to most of rework, as contracts and incentive structures are misaligned, which results in project and risk management becoming a key competence.

The significant risk level has even caused players to leave the industry voluntarily.

From the start, the process is highly project-based.

Projects are developed from unique customer specifications.
The construction ecosystem of the future (new build): A more standardized, consolidated, and integrated construction process

Value chain is more consolidated (especially in manufacturing), both vertically (stakeholders) and horizontally (increased degree of internationalization)

Developers will contract with one turnkey supplier that is vertically integrated with inhouse R&D (design, supply chain, and assembly management)

Constructions will be products manufactured offshore by specialized and branded product houses

Supply chain replaces project management as the predominant coordination centers, delivering products JIT to assembly

Future buildings optimized for total cost of ownership, with LEED as a smart building optimizing for energy, efficiency, and sustainability

Autonomous machinery onsite to optimize efficiency

Data and analytics on customer behavior are generated after completion, and used by developers to further improve

Contractions with be limited to loan, on-site, execution and assembly of process, specialized in certain end-use segments (e.g., tunnels)

Players to increase their control of the value chain, either digitally or via vertical integration (e.g., offshore manufacturing, supply chain, assembly, and operations of final building)
Construction can draw lessons from other industries that have faced disruption

Construction is not the first industry to encounter low productivity and disruption across the value chain. Lessons can be learned from others that had similar traits and encountered the same challenges. We have analyzed shifts in four industries with similar attributes: shipbuilding, commercial aircraft manufacturing, agriculture, and car manufacturing. By studying these industries, clear patterns emerge regarding shifts and changes to the industry value pools. In each case, an eventual transformation was preceded by a set of underlying industry issues (such as low productivity and dissatisfied customers). Innovation in production technology and new best-practice work methods kick-started the journey.

Shipbuilding. A geographically fragmented market structure meant that local shipyards covered the full process. Ships were manually produced in a bespoke and project-based setup over long periods of time and with a limited degree of repetition and standardization.

Exhibit 8

Industry leaders expect shifts to occur in the short term.

How probable do you think [the listed shifts] are to occur?
Sharing of respondents rating shifts as “probable,” n = 400

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Shift</th>
<th>Average: ~81%</th>
<th>1–5 years</th>
<th>5–20 years</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Sustainability</td>
<td>90</td>
<td>79</td>
<td>21</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Investment in technology and facilities</td>
<td>86</td>
<td>72</td>
<td>28</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Control of the value chain</td>
<td>85</td>
<td>71</td>
<td>29</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Customer-centricity</td>
<td>83</td>
<td>74</td>
<td>26</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Consolidation</td>
<td>82</td>
<td>57</td>
<td>43</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Product-based approach</td>
<td>77</td>
<td>71</td>
<td>29</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Specialization</td>
<td>75</td>
<td>65</td>
<td>35</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Internationalization</td>
<td>75</td>
<td>57</td>
<td>43</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Investment in human resources</td>
<td>74</td>
<td>74</td>
<td>26</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

More than 75% of respondents believe that the shifts will probably occur—sustainability shift seen as most likely

When do you think the shifts will impact at scale? Share of respondents who rated shifts as “probable,” n = 370

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Shift</th>
<th>1–5 years</th>
<th>5–20 years</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Sustainability</td>
<td>79</td>
<td>21</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Investment in technology and facilities</td>
<td>72</td>
<td>28</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Control of the value chain</td>
<td>71</td>
<td>29</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Customer-centricity</td>
<td>74</td>
<td>26</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Consolidation</td>
<td>57</td>
<td>43</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Product-based approach</td>
<td>71</td>
<td>29</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Specialization</td>
<td>65</td>
<td>35</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Internationalization</td>
<td>57</td>
<td>43</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Investment in human resources</td>
<td>74</td>
<td>26</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

More than 70% of the respondents who believe that shifts will occur also believe that industrialization will occur in the short term

Note: N = 400 - whereof 63% real estate, 19% infrastructure, 18% industrial; 47% North America, 39% Europe, 11% APAC, 2% Middle East and Africa, 2% Latin America

1Probable equals a 5 or higher, where 10 equals the highest certainty that the shift will occur.

Source: McKinsey survey of 400 construction-industry CxOs; expert interviews; McKinsey analysis

The next normal in construction
Commercial aircraft manufacturing. In addition to sharing many of the same characteristics as shipbuilding, commercial aircraft manufacturing required a high degree of specialized trade skills (for example, engineering and physics), and the cost of failure was (and still is) very high.

Agriculture. Each agricultural organization was confined to a specific plot of land, and the amount of manual work in production (for example, seeding and farming) was high.

Car manufacturing. Historically, automakers produced cars one by one with limited use of best practices and standardization. Design and production required a high level of specialized trades such as engineering.

While none of these industries is fully comparable to construction, they shared a number of characteristics: Most also were historically highly fragmented and adopted a largely bespoke and project-based approach, with limited standardization and repetition of processes. Productivity was low, and as demand picked up companies had trouble increasing production. All of them but agriculture also share the high complexity with construction and a high cost of failure. We included agriculture because its geographic dispersion and reliance on land as a key input are similar to construction.

Further, customer satisfaction was often low, caused by long delivery timelines, costly products, and limited assurance on quality. All these industries went through multidecades-long transformation journeys along similar dimensions as the nine shifts we lay out for construction (Exhibit 9).

In commercial aircraft manufacturing, for example, the industry landscape was highly fragmented. Each airplane was built from scratch in a bespoke and project-based-manufacturing setup. Industrialization sparked a shift toward assembly-line manufacturing, which later become highly automated. As a result of the subsequent standardization, the industry entered a phase of consolidation that led to the rise of two major players: Airbus and Boeing. The transformation resulted in a significant shift of value to customers. According to an analysis based on data compiled by Airline Monitor, the realized prices of airlines have been decreasing, on average, at a compound annual growth rate (CAGR) of nearly 2 percent, and today’s models have significantly improved safety, TCO, and technology.

This transformation journey took roughly 30 years to complete, as commercial aircraft manufacturing faced barriers to change similar to those now confronting construction: risks associated with product innovation, relatively strict regulation, often-limited scale of projects on which to apply innovation, and a value chain that requires many stakeholders to be involved and closely aligned. Commercial aircraft was able to navigate these variables and meet the challenges, which should give construction reason to be optimistic today.

Product-based approach. In shipbuilding, commercial aircraft manufacturing, and car manufacturing, players shifted to a product-based approach for which production facilities became assembly sites. The most famous example is Ford’s innovation of the assembly-line manufacturing process for its Model T. Most of the auto-manufacturing industry adopted the process within ten years. In this model, prefabricated and modularized subcomponents are inputs, and ships, airplanes, and cars are outputs. While the manufacturing process was significantly standardized, products remained customizable because subcomponents could take various forms and sizes within an industry-wide, standardized framework. When early movers boosted their productivity and profit margins, competitors adopted the innovation over time. Toyota’s lean manufacturing and use of robotics, further innovations in the assembly-line manufacturing process, boosted the company from a small player to one of the largest in the industry.
Exhibit 9

The expected shifts in construction have already occurred in other industries that show some (albeit imperfect) similarities.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Shift observed in industry</th>
<th>Shift not observed in industry</th>
<th>Shift somewhat observed/ongoing in industry</th>
<th>Indicative length of main change wave, years</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Shipbuilding</td>
<td>Commercial aircraft manufacturing</td>
<td>Agriculture</td>
<td>Car manufacturing</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Product-based approach</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Specialization</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Value-chain control and integration with industrial-grade supply chains</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Consolidation</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Customer-centricity and branding</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Investment in technology and facilities</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Investment in human resources</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Internationalization</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sustainability</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Shared characteristics before transformations

- **Project-based manufacturing of complex products with high demand on customization**
- **A highly fragmented ecosystem**
- **Project-based manufacturing of highly complex products**
- **High share of manual labor required on-site**
- **Similar fundamental demand and geographically dispersed market with difficult logistics**
- **Historically fragmented**
- **Project-based manufacturing of complex products**
- **Fragmented ecosystem with many players involved across production process**

Number of years indicating the length of main change wave (fundamental change of industry). The length of all shifts is longer and to some extent still ongoing.

- ~15
- ~25
- ~40
- ~40

**Specialization.** As industrialization started to reform these industries and processes became standardized, companies targeted specific niches and segments (for example, tankers, freight ships, and cruise ships in shipbuilding and budget, luxury, and utility autos in car manufacturing). As a result of this specialization, players created a competitive advantage by developing knowledge and scale in their market segment.

**Value-chain control and integration with industrial-grade supply chains.** As ship, aircraft, and car manufacturing shifted to assembly lines, the supply of critical components was increasingly important. In many cases, those components were the basis of differentiation: in car manufacturing, for example, the quality of the engines could be a distinctive factor. Therefore, it was important to control the supply.
Vertical integration or partnerships along the value chain were common shifts in the industries. In commercial aircraft manufacturing, engines were, and are, produced by external suppliers, but, in order to develop better-quality and more efficient engines than their competitors, manufacturers hold integrated partnerships in R&D and testing. Also, Boeing recently decided to build the 777X wing internally (which was formerly outsourced) and has also set up an internal avionics division to reduce reliance on suppliers of navigation, flight controls, and information systems.

**Consolidation.** As industrialization emerged in the four industries, companies started to consolidate in order to gain scale. In agriculture, land reforms in combination with industrialization (such as standardized seeding and harvesting) resulted in the industry’s transformation from a large set of small and local farms to one dominated by regional and global players. In manufacturing industries, standardization spurred a large wave of consolidation. In commercial aircraft manufacturing, several companies consolidated into Airbus and Boeing. The defense sector also consolidated over the past 50 years, with several large deals made to align companies’ services and product portfolios.

**Customer-centricity and branding.** Following specialization in end-use segments, companies invested heavily to build strong brands within their market niches and segments. In car manufacturing, brands tell stories that are centered on the customers—and customers let the products shape their lifestyles. Given changes in how consumers acquire and use cars, automakers have emphasized their use of technology and innovation to enhance the customer experience.

**Investment in technologies and facilities.** Industrialization created the need to invest in technology and facilities: manufacturing plants needed to be built, machinery to be acquired. Product and manufacturing innovation became important sources of competitive advantage, which led players to boost R&D spending significantly. In the four comparable industries, greater R&D spending led to short-term gains and advantages for the companies, while customers have benefited over the long term. Consider that the current cost of a car or airplane has changed little in the past ten to 20 years, but both cars and airplanes have significantly more value-adding technologies and other features. The trend has continued with investments by original-equipment manufacturers in the electric-vehicle-battery market—from R&D and packaging to cell production. Volkswagen recently invested in a battery-cell factory that it is developing in partnership with SK Innovation in Germany. It has also struck major supply deals with LG Chem, Samsung, and Chinese battery maker CATL. Overall, the company’s ratio of R&D spending to total revenues is now close to 6 percent compared with an average across the construction sector of less than 2 percent. Indeed, Volkswagen alone invested more than $13 billion in R&D in 2019, the same amount the 25 largest construction and building materials players together spent on R&D, according to the 2019 EU Industrial R&D Investment Scoreboard. And although that level of R&D spending may converge to the current automotive-sector average of almost 5 percent, it would still represent a significantly higher commitment to R&D than is typical in construction. In sum, across industries winners continue to heavily invest in technology, many with a focus on digitalization and data-driven products and services.

**Investment in human resources.** Employee attraction and retention became a priority when industrialization affected the four comparable industries at scale. First, players built up their technical knowledge in order to create a competitive advantage. Second, improved production processes have, over time, resulted in a need for constant retraining of the workforce.

**Internationalization.** Industrialization ushered in the standardization of processes, which was adopted across geographies. Internationalization enabled companies to expand beyond their borders in pursuit
of scale, gave them access to new markets, and resulted in operations cost savings. For example, in an attempt to increase commercial aircraft sales in China and the Mideast, Airbus and Boeing set up local final-assembly lines in China.

**Sustainability.** The growing global emphasis on sustainability is being felt across industries. Most notably, automotive has already embarked on a material transformation toward zero-emission vehicles. In Norway, airport operator Avinor and Widerøe Airlines vowed to fully electrify all domestic flights by 2040.

---

Exhibit 10

*The construction industry expects sequencing of shifts similar to comparable industries.*

**Transformation journeys in comparable industries have typically followed the same pattern**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Length of phases highly indicative</th>
<th>1–5 years</th>
<th>5–20 years</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>First main change wave—industrialization, 20–25 years</td>
<td>79</td>
<td>21</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sustainability</td>
<td>74</td>
<td>26</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Customer-centricity</td>
<td>74</td>
<td>26</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Investment in human resources</td>
<td>72</td>
<td>28</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Investment in technology and facilities</td>
<td>71</td>
<td>29</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Product-based approach</td>
<td>71</td>
<td>29</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Control of the value chain</td>
<td>71</td>
<td>29</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Second main change wave—scale, 10–15 years**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>1–5 years</th>
<th>5–20 years</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Specialization</td>
<td>65</td>
<td>35</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Consolidation</td>
<td>57</td>
<td>43</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Internationalization</td>
<td>57</td>
<td>43</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Sustainability shift to occur sooner in the transformation of the construction industry**

Source: McKinsey survey of 400 construction-industry CxOs; expert interviews; McKinsey analysis
Across industries, winners continue to heavily invest in technology, many with a focus on digitalization and data-driven products and services.

The transformation journeys of the comparable industries took decades to complete (Exhibit 10). Survey respondents indicate that shifts in construction will occur in two main waves, similar to the transformation journey observed in those industries. In the first wave, industrialization will standardize processes and increase sector productivity. The second wave will focus on scale, where players will specialize in end-use segments, consolidate vertically in the value chain, and also expand internationally. By drawing on these lessons, construction companies can begin to position themselves for the coming upheaval.
The transformation of the industry will create both large opportunities and sizable risks as value and profit pools shift in the next 15 years. Over the past years, approximately $11 trillion in value added and $1.5 trillion in profits have been unevenly distributed along the construction value chain and across all asset classes. Looking ahead, up to 45 percent of incumbent value may be at stake in those parts of the market most heavily affected by shifts, such as hotel construction. Of this total, 20 to 30 percentage points will be kept and redistributed within the ecosystem to enable the shifts to take place. The remaining 15 to 20 percentage points will be value up for grabs as a result of the cost savings and productivity gains generated by the shifts, with the benefits accruing to players or customers (in the form of price reductions or quality increase). If that value is captured fully by players in the ecosystem, profitability could nearly double, to 10 percent of revenues, from the current 5 percent. Players that move fast and manage to radically outperform their competitors could grab the lion’s share of the $265 billion in new profit pools. (For more on our methodology, see sidebar “How we measure value and profit pools.”)

3 Almost half of incumbent value added is at stake

The transformation of the industry will create both large opportunities and sizable risks as value and profit pools shift in the next 15 years. Over the past years, approximately $11 trillion in value added and $1.5 trillion in profits have been unevenly distributed along the construction value chain and across all asset classes. Looking ahead, up to 45 percent of incumbent value may be at stake in those parts of the market most heavily affected by shifts, such as hotel construction. Of this total, 20 to 30 percentage points will be kept and redistributed within the ecosystem to enable the shifts to take place. The remaining 15 to 20 percentage points will be value up for grabs as a result of the cost savings and productivity gains generated by the shifts, with the benefits accruing to players or customers (in the form of price reductions or quality increase). If that value is captured fully by players in the ecosystem, profitability could nearly double, to 10 percent of revenues, from the current 5 percent. Players that move fast and manage to radically outperform their competitors could grab the lion’s share of the $265 billion in new profit pools. (For more on our methodology, see sidebar “How we measure value and profit pools.”)

Value is distributed unevenly along the construction value chain

Value and profit pools have remained stable, with only minor changes, for a long period, as the overall industry has maintained its status quo. While a multitude of players are active within and across the construction value chain, few manage to grab significant shares of value—not to mention profits (Exhibit 11).
For example, software providers and off-site manufacturers often command high earnings before interest and taxes (EBIT) but remain relegated to niche pockets in the value chain. In contrast, developers manage to capture a large share of the value pool. General contractors and subcontractors typically have low margins (especially considering the high risks of the industry). Given their sheer number, however, they still collectively attract a significant share of overall value. Top generalist contractors have revenues of about $60 billion, while average revenues for contractors in our database are much lower, at $27 million. The value declines to just $10 million for specialist contractors and declines further when the long tail of individual owner-operators and small firms (which is not included in our database) is included (Exhibit 12).

Materials distribution and logistics still represents a relatively high share of both value added and profits, as it plays a central role in connecting a large number of suppliers with project sites on which subcontractors are active on each site. The best-performing basic-materials providers manage to attain the typical scale of manufacturers and achieve EBIT margins of 15 to 25 percent, but a long tail of less-profitable players brings down average margins.

Minor differences exist across regions
The differences among regions are somewhat limited (Exhibit 13). However, a few things should be taken into consideration, such as whether contractors specialize, to what degree materials distributors are able to add...
## Value pools are fragmented across the value chain and profitability levels are low.

**Value and profit pools per player type in ecosystem (new build and renovation); average, 2015–17**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Revenue pools, $ bn</th>
<th>0–170</th>
<th>3,200–3,700</th>
<th>2,200–2,500</th>
<th>5,200–5,500</th>
<th>1,800–2,100</th>
<th>2,000–2,400</th>
<th>6,800–7,100</th>
<th>400–600</th>
<th>0–300</th>
<th>8,400–8,800</th>
<th>3,300–3,800</th>
<th>~35,000</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Value pools, $ bn</td>
<td>0–100</td>
<td>900–1,300</td>
<td>1,200–1,600</td>
<td>1,500–1,800</td>
<td>500–900</td>
<td>800–1,200</td>
<td>900–1,300</td>
<td>100–400</td>
<td>0–100</td>
<td>2,400–2,800</td>
<td>1,000–1,500</td>
<td>~11,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Value pools, % of total</td>
<td>0–1%</td>
<td>8–12%</td>
<td>9–14%</td>
<td>13–17%</td>
<td>5–9%</td>
<td>6–11%</td>
<td>8–12%</td>
<td>1–3%</td>
<td>0–1%</td>
<td>20–25%</td>
<td>9–13%</td>
<td>~11,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Historical growth trend</td>
<td>▲</td>
<td>●</td>
<td>●</td>
<td>▲</td>
<td>●</td>
<td>●</td>
<td>▲</td>
<td>●</td>
<td>▲</td>
<td>●</td>
<td>●</td>
<td>●</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Profit pools, % of total</td>
<td>0–1%</td>
<td>25–29%</td>
<td>5–9%</td>
<td>11–15%</td>
<td>3–7%</td>
<td>4–8%</td>
<td>13–17%</td>
<td>1–4%</td>
<td>0–1%</td>
<td>15–20%</td>
<td>4–9%</td>
<td>~1,500</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Typical EBIT margins</td>
<td>10–30%</td>
<td>10–15%</td>
<td>4–6%</td>
<td>3–5%</td>
<td>4–6%</td>
<td>4–6%</td>
<td>4–6%</td>
<td>4–6%</td>
<td>9–13%</td>
<td>20–30%</td>
<td>2–4%</td>
<td>3–5%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Value and profit pools per player type in ecosystem (new build and renovation); average, 2015–17

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Player Type</th>
<th>Revenue pools, $ bn</th>
<th>Value pools, % of total</th>
<th>Historical growth trend</th>
<th>Profit pools, % of total</th>
<th>Typical EBIT margins</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Providing software</td>
<td>0–170</td>
<td>0–1%</td>
<td>▲</td>
<td>0–1%</td>
<td>10–30%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Development</td>
<td>3,200–3,700</td>
<td>8–12%</td>
<td>●</td>
<td>25–29%</td>
<td>10–15%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Design and engineering</td>
<td>2,200–2,500</td>
<td>9–14%</td>
<td>●</td>
<td>5–9%</td>
<td>4–6%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Supply of materials, components, and machinery</td>
<td>5,200–5,500</td>
<td>13–17%</td>
<td>●</td>
<td>11–15%</td>
<td>3–5%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Manufacturing of components, materials, and machinery</td>
<td>1,800–2,100</td>
<td>5–9%</td>
<td>●</td>
<td>3–7%</td>
<td>4–6%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Component manufacturing</td>
<td>2,000–2,400</td>
<td>6–11%</td>
<td>▲</td>
<td>4–8%</td>
<td>4–6%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Machinery manufacturing</td>
<td>6,800–7,100</td>
<td>8–12%</td>
<td>●</td>
<td>13–17%</td>
<td>4–6%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Distribution and rental</td>
<td>400–600</td>
<td>1–3%</td>
<td>●</td>
<td>1–4%</td>
<td>9–13%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Off-site construction</td>
<td>0–300</td>
<td>0–1%</td>
<td>▲</td>
<td>0–1%</td>
<td>20–30%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Construction and assembly</td>
<td>8,400–8,800</td>
<td>20–25%</td>
<td>●</td>
<td>15–20%</td>
<td>2–4%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>General contracting</td>
<td>3,300–3,800</td>
<td>9–13%</td>
<td>●</td>
<td>4–9%</td>
<td>3–5%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Specialist contracting</td>
<td>~35,000</td>
<td>~11,000</td>
<td>●</td>
<td>~1,500</td>
<td>4–5%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

value, and to what extent players rent machinery and tools. Moreover, a country’s tendency to import goods or add value domestically also affects the distribution of value added.

The following takeaways highlight some of the differences by region:

— In China, general contracting has higher value added since contractors tend to perform specialist work in an integrated manner.

— UK value pools are skewed toward materials distribution because the United Kingdom is a more indirect market than some other countries. In the United States, however, the massive size of the domestic market allows for distributors to reach larger scale and therefore create more value.

---

**Exhibit 11**

**Note:** CAGR view of players and market based on European view due to data availability

1. Calculated by applying an assessed share of total value of development of output per asset class, allocated on top of total market output, since a limited number of stand-alone, pure-player developers have been identified.
2. Looking at players processing raw materials but not the actual manufacturing of raw materials (e.g., mining). If all steps of producing and refining raw materials were included, the value pool would be ~2.5x bigger.
3. Adjusted downward to reflect that some things material distributors sell don’t contribute to construction output (e.g., clothes, white goods).
4. General builders (buildings and other heavy construction).
5. Specialized trade construction.
6. Defined as Value added per player type.
7. EBIT pools.
8. EBIT margins calculated on revenues, not value pools. We see large variances in EBIT margins in this segment between players—some segments and regions have considerably higher profitability.
9. Selected players in Germany and Sweden.

**Value and profit pools per player type in ecosystem (new build and renovation); average, 2015–17**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Player Type</th>
<th>Revenue pools, $ bn</th>
<th>Value pools, % of total</th>
<th>Historical growth trend</th>
<th>Profit pools, % of total</th>
<th>Typical EBIT margins</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Providing software</td>
<td>0–170</td>
<td>0–1%</td>
<td>▲</td>
<td>0–1%</td>
<td>10–30%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Development</td>
<td>3,200–3,700</td>
<td>8–12%</td>
<td>●</td>
<td>25–29%</td>
<td>10–15%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Design and engineering</td>
<td>2,200–2,500</td>
<td>9–14%</td>
<td>●</td>
<td>5–9%</td>
<td>4–6%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Supply of materials, components, and machinery</td>
<td>5,200–5,500</td>
<td>13–17%</td>
<td>●</td>
<td>11–15%</td>
<td>3–5%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Manufacturing of components, materials, and machinery</td>
<td>1,800–2,100</td>
<td>5–9%</td>
<td>●</td>
<td>3–7%</td>
<td>4–6%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Component manufacturing</td>
<td>2,000–2,400</td>
<td>6–11%</td>
<td>▲</td>
<td>4–8%</td>
<td>4–6%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Machinery manufacturing</td>
<td>6,800–7,100</td>
<td>8–12%</td>
<td>●</td>
<td>13–17%</td>
<td>4–6%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Distribution and rental</td>
<td>400–600</td>
<td>1–3%</td>
<td>●</td>
<td>1–4%</td>
<td>9–13%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Off-site construction</td>
<td>0–300</td>
<td>0–1%</td>
<td>▲</td>
<td>0–1%</td>
<td>20–30%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Construction and assembly</td>
<td>8,400–8,800</td>
<td>20–25%</td>
<td>●</td>
<td>15–20%</td>
<td>2–4%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>General contracting</td>
<td>3,300–3,800</td>
<td>9–13%</td>
<td>●</td>
<td>4–9%</td>
<td>3–5%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Specialist contracting</td>
<td>~35,000</td>
<td>~11,000</td>
<td>●</td>
<td>~1,500</td>
<td>4–5%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
The US rental market is fairly sophisticated, and companies tend to achieve significant scale as a result of the sheer size of the domestic market.

Almost half of submarket value-added pools could be reshuffled
As the industry transforms, segments in which the nine shifts have the highest potential to materialize (such as hotels or single- or multifamily housing in new real-estate projects) could see a reshuffling of 40 to 45 percent of value added in the next 15 years (Exhibit 14). Of this total, 20 to 30 percentage points will be kept and distributed within the ecosystem to enable the shifts to take place. The remaining 15 to 20 percentage points will be value up for grabs as a result of the cost savings and productivity gains generated by the shifts, with the benefits accruing to companies as profits, workers as wage rises, or customers in the form of better quality or price reductions. As competition catches up with early movers, companies will lower their prices to win individual projects and pass more of the value on to customers—a pattern that has been observed in other industries.

Exhibit 12
The construction value chain is fragmented with a significant number of small-scale players.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Total sample revenue</th>
<th>Development</th>
<th>Design and engineering</th>
<th>Supply of materials, components, and machinery</th>
<th>Construction and assembly</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Medicen revenue</td>
<td>~9</td>
<td>~8</td>
<td>~6</td>
<td>~10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Average revenue</td>
<td>~50</td>
<td>~71</td>
<td>~77</td>
<td>~58</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Top ten players, in terms of revenue

| Average revenue      | ~1,600      | ~22,000                 | ~13,000                                      | ~20,000                  |
|                      | ~10,000     | ~37,000                 | ~1,000                                       | ~500                     |

Source: CapitalIQ; Euroconstruct; FMI; McKinsey analysis

1 Calculated by applying an assessed share of total value of development of output per asset class, allocated on top of total market output, since a limited number of stand-alone, pure-player developers have been identified.
2 Looking at players processing raw materials but not the actual manufacturing of raw materials (eg, mining). If all steps of producing and refining raw materials were included, the value pool would be ~2.5x bigger.
3 Adjusted downward to reflect that some things materials distributors sell don’t contribute to construction output (eg, clothes, white goods).
4 General builders (buildings and other heavy construction).
5 Specialized trade construction.

— The US rental market is fairly sophisticated, and companies tend to achieve significant scale as a result of the sheer size of the domestic market.
The rate of change will differ across the construction value chain

Some players will be more affected than others. For example, software providers are expected to significantly increase their value-added contribution, albeit from a small base of 1 to 2 percent of the value chain. Also, a large share of value is expected to move from construction jobsites to off-site prefabrication facilities. In contrast, general and specialized contractors could face a large decline unless they reposition themselves as companies that go beyond execution alone. Basic design and engineering and materials distribution and logistics may face substantial commoditization risks.

Our estimates are based on expert interviews and analysis. However, the rate of change in the industry could play out slower or faster, depending on overall dynamics and adoption rates.
Forty to 45 percent of value pools are expected to shift and impact all players along the value chain.

Example of fully productized value chain (eg, real estate new build), current and future value pools, p.p.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Value pools, $ bn</th>
<th>0–100</th>
<th>100–400</th>
<th>400–900</th>
<th>900–1,300</th>
<th>1,300–1,800</th>
<th>1,800–2,400</th>
<th>2,400–3,000</th>
<th>3,000–3,600</th>
<th>3,600–4,200</th>
<th>4,200–5,000</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>
| 1 Calculated by applying an assessed share of total value of development of output per asset class, allocated on top of total market output, since a limited number of stand-alone, pure-player developers have been identified.
| 2 Looking at players processing raw materials but not the actual manufacturing of raw materials (eg, mining). If all steps of producing and refining raw materials were included, the value pool would be ~2.5x bigger.
| 3 Adjusted downward to reflect that some things materials distributors sell don’t contribute to construction output (eg, clothes, white goods).
| 4 General builders (buildings and other heavy construction).
| 5 Specialized trade construction.
| 6 Defined as value added per player type.
| 7 EBIT pools.

Source: CapitalIQ; Euroconstruct; FMI; McKinsey analysis
Providing software. Software and platforms increasingly will be built to integrate and serve companies throughout the ecosystem. The industry will see the launch of analytics services and software, while online marketplaces will offer entire designs or specific components from a suite of options. Emerging digital sales channels will become the primary interface between builders and suppliers.

Software providers are expected to be strongly affected by changes in the ecosystem, and their value added will increase accordingly. Software will enable cost savings across the value chain—and grab significant share of the gains as a result. Overall software usage is expected to increase as technology advances—for example, through more sophisticated data analysis and increased IoT connectivity. According to IDC, IT-related software and infrastructure will grow 5.3 percent and 1.7 percent, respectively, despite the COVID-19 impact. Some estimates indicate it could even double as the construction industry starts to catch up with the manufacturing industry in terms of IT spending as a share of revenue, which is currently at two to three percentage points. Therefore, the associated value pools should rise considerably as well.

When taking into account the doubling of spending on software, the value-pool increase of software providers could rise by one percentage point.

Development. Public- and private-sector developers of real-estate, infrastructure, and industrial projects orchestrate the development process from beginning to end: securing financing, sourcing land, and scoping and overseeing value-adding projects. As customer expectations continue to evolve rapidly, developers look set to increasingly specialize and invest in productizing and branding their offerings, which will increasingly require multinational scale, leaving behind those working in traditional ways. A deep understanding of customer needs, by segment and subsegment, will be increasingly important.

The most successful players are already bringing together these customer insights with product and supply-chain innovation to deliver high-performing projects. For example, leading commercial real-estate developers are already building direct relationships with end users and creating spaces that can be rapidly repurposed as demand changes. Similarly, highway developers are connecting directly with drivers to better understand usage patterns to inform future highway design and operation. In many ways, developers set the tone for the whole industry.

Since developers sit at the top of the value chain, they can strongly influence how and how fast disruption in other parts of the value chain takes place, including actively embracing industrial production of their offerings as well as library-based designs and subsystems.

Such approaches can reduce the cost, time, and riskiness of projects—all improvements in the financial viability of projects—which may translate into higher profits, greater volume, or value shifting to customers. Specifically, significantly shortened project duration will be the key driver for cost reduction in development as all indirect and financing costs will be reduced. We expect two to five percentage points of value added to be at stake.

Design and engineering. Disruption could fundamentally change what it means to be an engineer or an architect in construction. Historically, these professionals have applied their considerable expertise to create designs and specifications for individual projects: each design optimized to meet the project’s unique requirements. The coming years will see these stand-alone professional-services firms closely collaborating with productized and branded developers, off-site construction firms, and highly specialized contractors as an integrated R&D-like function. These firms will increasingly add value through the standardization
of structure and subsystem designs and develop standardized design libraries of products in their target segments. This modular design will be reused across a large set of construction projects. In this way, design and engineering firms could influence industry standards. As the industry shifts to a more product-based approach, the challenge for engineering and architecture firms will be to retrain their existing workforces and hire the right talent.

Of course, modularization and automation will not apply to all projects: highly architectural or complex projects will have limited amounts of standardization. Similarly, renovation-maintenance-improvement (RMI) projects are likely to continue to follow more traditional design approaches for some time. In affected segments, however, design and engineering firms are likely to improve their efficiency by using standardized products and libraries as well as software-based design automation—though the extent will depend on how the transformation plays out. The best performers will still stand to gain. There will often be a premium for modular or customizable product design, as only a few firms have the required capabilities and experience. But as the industry adjusts to designs that can be replicated and adapted multiple times, the volume of work is likely to decrease in the affected segments. The need for redesign is also expected to decrease drastically with more specialized and productized approaches, generating significant cost savings for design and engineering and putting the respective value of activities at stake.

Currently, design and redesign account for 14 percent of total value added. The developments discussed here could lower cost by three to eight percentage points—and shift it to the best performers or other parts of the value chain.

**Basic-materials manufacturing.** A large share of the inputs used in construction projects involve processing raw materials such as cement, steel, wood, or glass. Many players in this sector are already large, global firms with slower-moving shifts in value-chain dynamics. The most pronounced impact might arise from a transition to new, lighter-weight materials, as well as satisfying a growing number of sustainability requirements including less waste and more recycling. While the industry should benefit from long-term (post-cycle) growth of the construction market, the volume of traditional materials per structure, such as the amount of cement per building, looks set to decline. Digitization and consolidation of the distribution and contracting landscape may alter logistics and customer interfaces.

Overall, we expect that about one to two percentage points in value generated in this sector might be at stake.

**Component manufacturing.** Today, components such as elevators, HVAC equipment, and pipes are often produced using a silo-like approach, which limits the ability to integrate the components once they have been installed in buildings. Installers tend to have strong affinities for specific suppliers—due to either personal experience or suppliers’ incentive schemes—while the brand affiliation of end customers is not as strong.

Several of the nine shifts will most strongly affect component manufacturers: productization and standardization (including through BIM object libraries), as well as online channels, will increase price transparency and lead to commoditization. Consolidation will improve the bargaining power of large contractors or modular construction firms, and internationalization will lead to low-cost-country sourcing. In turn, the best companies will achieve further economies of scale and offer solutions with a TCO advantage and value-adding digital services. The companies might also shift from manufacturing components to entire subsystems and taking direct-sales approaches.
Overall, we expect that about one percentage point of value added from component manufacturing will be grabbed by other parts for the value chain or the best-performing players.

**Machinery manufacturing.** Over the next two decades, manufacturers will transition from producing traditional heavy machinery and tools used in the construction process to highly automated, connected products used in the ecosystem. The new equipment will be integrated with robotics that could be used in the ecosystem’s manufacturing processes—for example, in plants for building materials, components, and buildings. Rather than simply selling products, manufacturers will offer services that are completed with their products. This will increase the value added of machinery, and increasing automation will support greater volume. In addition, demand for machinery used in off-site fabrication is expected to rise. In turn, improved efficiency and reduced time requirements for on-site work are expected to have a negative impact on the volume of machines sold.

Overall, we estimate a range of an increase or decrease by one percentage point in value added for machinery manufacturing.

**Materials distribution and logistics.** Distributors procure, store, and transport basic materials, components, and equipment and resell them to consumers and businesses. Some distributors also provide credit. Part of this model is the organization of logistics and inventory, primarily for construction sites and installers. Several of the nine shifts may hit distributors in a negative way. Productization, standardization, and consolidation will move decisions and procurement upstream from small specialized subcontractors to large contractors and product-based developers, increasing bargaining power and reducing the breadth of materials needed. Better and earlier planning using BIM and digital twins will reinforce those shifts and reduce the need for local stock. Off-site manufacturing facilities will shift demand for shipments to factory hubs, with more predictable levels of demand, which will be the main logistics nodes and decrease the need for a dense storage network close to construction sites, while also raising the expectation of just-in-time delivery. Internationalization will enable more sourcing from low-cost countries. Online and direct-sales

Since developers sit at the top of the value chain, they can strongly influence how and how fast disruption in other parts of the value chain takes place.
channels, including new competition from online distribution behemoths—which serve customers with high expectations and use increasing amounts of technology, such as advanced analytics or automated warehouses—will further reshape this segment.

While these shifts pose a major threat to distributors that lack scale and logistics capabilities, they provide opportunities to companies that have them. There is an opportunity to consolidate the sector, supported by lean efficiencies, category reviews, and new business solutions. Direct-to-customer digital interaction channels and interfaces connecting to BIM and building-management systems allow better integration into the value chain. Distributors can fill the roles of the logistics hubs of the future construction landscape by using advanced analytics in logistics, demand forecasting, and inventory management to allow just-in-time delivery from suppliers to modular-construction factories to construction sites. Distributors can create new value for customers by helping with international sourcing, by offering credit finance, packing in assembly order, offering in-room delivery, making deliveries before the working day, providing on-site logistics planning and operations, or even handling simple pre-assembly.31

Overall, we expect zero to five percentage points of value added could be at stake and could move to other parts of the value chain or to the best-performing companies that are fastest to adjust to the new world.

**Machinery rental.** Currently, rental businesses provide companies in the ecosystem with an efficient capital-expenditure option for yellow machinery and tools, which help to optimize equipment utilization. Digital technologies will enable greater efficiency through on-site logistics, either providing the right machinery at the right time or operating and using the machinery for customers. By offering digital services, rental companies gather usage data from products to enable best-in-class employment of machinery for customers.

Some machinery—particularly tools—is expected to be moved off site, trending toward higher utilization and limited rentals rather than direct purchases. This shift will affect machinery-rental players negatively, although only to a small extent. In turn, service-based business models and IoT-connected machinery and tools could compensate for these shifts. Machinery for groundwork will likely be less affected by the upcoming shifts.

Overall, we do not expect a significant net change in value into or out of the machinery-rental sector.

**Off-site construction.** Today, off-site construction companies primarily manufacture building elements, structures, or modules for real estate—but also for industrial structures and infrastructure like bridge segments. Overall, off-site construction is still a relatively young and immature part of the larger construction ecosystem, with high fragmentation and smaller-scale players that use mostly manual labor.

All nine of the shifts described in this report are expected to positively shape future demand for off-site construction in one way or another, and the collective effect is expected to be the most significant throughout the ecosystem. Led by a product-based approach, standardization, and sustainability, the coming years will see a shift to manufacturing a broad range of products off site, typically on a manual or automated production line.

Off-site construction will see much deeper integration even in flat-pack design such as doors, windows, and fully preinstalled mechanical, electrical, and plumbing systems. Data will be flexibly transferred from BIM models to the automated factory controls for customization within standard designs. The level of integration
and connector technology aims to require no skilled labor on the final site and enable extremely fast building times. There will be a mix of flat-pack (2-D) and volumetric (3-D) preconstruction.

Through close collaboration with designers or integrated R&D departments, companies will build standardized libraries of subsystems that allow mass customization. We expect off-site construction companies to specialize by end-user segments, such as hospitals or certain types of bridges. Branding will also become important to differentiate offerings.

While off-site construction is expected to enjoy strong demand growth, owner expectations and requirements will increase. As a consequence, the future landscape is likely to look very different from today’s. Players that can differentiate at scale will stand head and shoulders above others. Some of those players might already exist in today’s ecosystem, while others might arise from new entrants that see opportunities in areas such as real estate or infrastructure being the next platform for the deployment of smart technology. Either way, future winners will look very different from the fragmented players that exist today.

Our analysis suggests that, as a direct result of the shift to an industrialized approach, the off-site construction sector could gain a share in value added of 20 to 30 percentage points in the most affected segments. This amount represents the cost of off-site labor (typically 10 to 15 percent of a modular project) and the cost of investing in and operating the factory. Recently constructed facilities suggest an initial capital outlay of $50 million to $100 million.

**General and specialist contracting.** With a focus on managing overall construction projects, general contractors perform construction work, coordinate subcontractors and suppliers, and handle risk. Many of them currently have a limited degree of specialization. Specialist contractors perform specialized tasks in a construction project, such as mechanical, electrical, and plumbing work—but often do so for a broad spectrum of projects.

The generalist and specialist contracting sector faces some of the most imminent threats to their business model as work shifts from jobsites to off-site facilities. Up to 80 percent of the traditional labor activity in a modular building project can be moved off site to the manufacturing facility. Some of the most skill-intensive and expensive types of work, such as mechanical, electrical, and plumbing, can be handled by lower-cost manufacturing workers.

Better and earlier specification with BIM and other digital tools, as well as specialization and productization, will further reduce risks and project-management needs and simplify supply-chain management.

Overall, in the most affected segments, we expect ten to 20 percentage points of value added in general contracting and an additional five to ten percentage points of value added in specialized contracting to be at stake. Competitors include module manufacturers and the developers that employ them or the contractors that are best able to adjust their business models in line with the shifts ahead.

**Companies that move fast may reap disproportionate rewards**

Whether the share of value at stake benefits players in the larger construction ecosystem or their customers depends on industry dynamics and conduct. Drawing on expert interviews and industry analysis, we created a scenario for overall industry profitability. In the short term, profit pools in some segments of the industry could double if the value of the shifts benefits the ecosystem as whole. Profits could even increase for
companies that make fast and radical changes to outperform their competition and that capture a majority of value shifts in the value chain (Exhibit 15). In the long term, as competition intensifies, we expect gains from cost savings to benefit customers through price reductions and quality improvements.

The impact of these shifts will differ significantly by asset class and project type

Across segments, shifts in the industry are expected to affect new building projects more than renovation projects. Therefore, our estimates have focused on new projects, though renovation could certainly still have some potential (see sidebar “The potential of renovation”).

That said, emerging evidence in the market indicates that the renovation segment is subject to shifts that are similar to those of new projects and has significant potential for change. The next 15 years could bring considerable improvements.

Exhibit 15

Profit margins could increase for players that move fast and capture value that is up for grabs.

Fully productized value chain in the subsegments of real-estate new build where shifts are the most applicable

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Value grabbed by players in ecosystem (vs customers), %</th>
<th>Long-term likely scenario</th>
<th>Short-term likely scenario</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>0</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>40</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>60</td>
<td>80</td>
<td>100</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Implications for total construction profit, %</th>
<th>Current total profitability</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>Up to ~2× total profits</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5.5</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6.5</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7.5</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8.0</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9.0</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The potential of renovation

In this report, we focus on new building projects because we believe this is the category for which change will occur most quickly. In fact, many shifts in new projects have already begun and are moving particularly fast in this segment.

The renovation segment will likely transform at a later time. First, renovation projects are more frequently carried out by fragmented small and medium-size enterprises, which tend to have limited funding available for pursuing innovation. Subsystems manufactured off site could also be more difficult to integrate into renovation projects than new building projects, as a greater degree of customization would be expected. The reason is that customized building projects often do not follow cogent standards of measurement or setup.
Shifts have different levels of applicability within asset classes and their respective subsegments, and value redistribution is expected to affect them differently in the foreseeable future. Our baseline scenario estimates the adoption rate for the shifts outlined in this report at about 11 percent across asset classes by 2035. Exhibit 16 shows an illustrative timeline of how the shifts are expected to affect new building projects in the various asset classes.

In real estate, for example, we expect an additional applicable volume of 15 percent of new building projects by 2035. This higher number is partly the result of the potential for standardization in single- and multifamily residential, hotels, offices, and hospitals.

Across segments, the shifts could generate profits of up to approximately $265 billion for innovative players and customers (Exhibit 17).

The shifts are expected to affect infrastructure to a lesser degree than real estate. Structures are more difficult to transport as subsystems than in real estate, and repeatability is often more difficult. Some segments—such as airports and railways, but also bridges or tubing rings in tunnels—show more potential, but overall this volume is small compared with roads, which are expected to be less affected. In our scenario, additional applicable volume for new building projects within the infrastructure segment could be approximately 7 percent by 2035.

Exhibit 16

**Asset classes will be impacted at different rates of speed.**

**Fully productized value chain in the subsegments of new build**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Asset class</th>
<th>Present (2020)</th>
<th>2035</th>
<th>2060</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Real estate</td>
<td>~5%</td>
<td>~15%</td>
<td>~5%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Infrastructure</td>
<td>~7%</td>
<td>~11%</td>
<td>~7%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Industrial</td>
<td>~11%</td>
<td>~15%</td>
<td>~15%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The next normal in construction
Exhibit 17

About $265 billion in new profits is at stake for fast movers.

Fully productized value chain in the subsegments of real-estate new build where shifts are the most applicable

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Segment size new build (estimate 2035), $ billion</th>
<th>Real estate</th>
<th>Infrastructure</th>
<th>Industrial</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>~6,500</td>
<td>2,500</td>
<td>~1,000</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Additional volume applicable, %</th>
<th>15</th>
<th>7</th>
<th>5</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Potential profit up for grabs, $ billion</th>
<th>~215</th>
<th>~40</th>
<th>~10</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

The industrial segment is expected to have a lower incremental penetration than real estate and infrastructure. The oil and gas sector already has high productivity and is advanced in terms of off-site production, and so are some other industrial structure projects. We estimate that an additional applicable volume of 5 percent for new industrial building projects could be affected by the shifts.
The full transformation of the construction industry will take time. However, the process has already begun. The industry leaders who responded to our survey largely agree that the shifts outlined in this report are likely to occur at scale within the next five to ten years. The COVID-19 pandemic is likely to accelerate the impending changes.

Industry leaders emphasize that the need for drastic change is greater today than it was five to ten years ago. In fact, approximately 80 percent of the survey respondents believe that the industry will look radically different in 20 years (Exhibit 18). In addition, more than 75 percent of respondents believe that the shifts outlined in this report are likely to occur, while a majority expect them to materialize in the next five years.

Disruption has started to occur at scale
Both incumbent players and emerging start-ups have been pushing for changes in the industry. While similar transformation journeys have taken decades in other industries (as described in Section 3), construction could harness new digital technologies to speed up its process. In addition, the construction industry has attracted a significant amount of capital in the past few years from private equity and venture capital.
Tomorrow’s construction industry will be radically different from today’s. Beyond our analysis of the next normal, and the overwhelming belief of the surveyed executives, we see signs today that the industry had already started to change before the COVID-19 crisis began. There has been activity by both new and existing players against each of our nine shifts.

**Product-based approach.** While prefabrication and modularization have been present in construction for a long time, they have only recently started to regain traction with new materials, better quality, and more design flexibility. Modular construction offers several advantages over traditional methods: it reduces the need for labor (a particularly appealing benefit in markets facing labor shortages), reduces costs, and decreases construction timelines by 30 to 50 percent.

The product-based approach is gaining traction. In North America, for example, growth in the total market share for permanent modular-construction real-estate projects was 51 percent during the period of 2015–18, and total revenue growth for the segment increased by a factor of 2.4 (Exhibit 19). In the United Kingdom and the United States, modular construction accounts for approximately 20 percent of total hotel construction projects.

Seventy-seven percent of our survey respondents believe that a shift to a product-based construction approach is likely to occur at scale, and around 71 percent of those believe such a shift will take place in the next five years.

---

**Exhibit 18**

**Many players believe that the construction industry is ripe for disruption.**

**Do you think that the construction industry will change radically in the coming 20 years?**

| Share of respondents, % | 78 Yes | 22 No |

Selected quotes from respondents who answered ‘yes’

“We’ve been building the same way for 200 years … but now assumptions are changing that will drive demand for automation, ownership, scalability, speed, and the need for zero carbon emissions—all of these drivers are unprecedented in the construction industry.”

— Real-estate architect in US

“The industry is ripe for disruption. . . . Think Kodak resisting the move from film to digital. The extrinsic risk for traditional contractors doing things tomorrow the way they do them today is extremely high.”

— COO for a real-estate general contractor in US

“All resources (raw material as well as qualified labour) are limited. At the same time, there is increasing demand for [housing with sufficient living standards]—which drives a gap that needs to be filled. There must be new methods of construction [to be able to fill the gap]—there is no other way.”

— CEO for an infrastructure general contractor in Switzerland

Source: McKinsey survey of 400 construction-industry CxOs; expert interviews; McKinsey analysis

---

The next normal in construction
Specialization. Players have already begun to specialize in end-user segments, and this shift is expected to gain further traction as construction processes become standardized and the industry adopts an increasingly product-based approach.

For example, in the marine-construction sector, top players are highly specialized contractors such as Royal Boskalis Westminster, which over the years has become one of the world leaders in dredging, land reclamation, and offshore energy construction (Case Study 1).

Approximately 75 percent of survey respondents believe that players will specialize in the future construction-industry ecosystem, and approximately 65 percent of those believe that this change will happen during the next five years.

Case Study 1

*Boskalis has focused on becoming the leading global dredging contractor and marine services provider through strategic acquisitions and R&D investments*

With more than 100 years of history, Boskalis has become a global leader in the field of dredging and offshore energy. Over the years, the company made strategic acquisitions of both dredging companies and...
marine-services providers to maintain leading positions in specific markets as well as to expand its fleet for optimal deployment across projects. Investment in R&D have been essential to Boskalis as a way of increasing both efficiency and effectiveness of operations. The company has dedicated in-house research team and test facilities, and it also collaborates with peer companies and academia. With its multipurpose vessels, Boskalis won the Innovation Award in the category “Dredging Support Vessel” in 2016.

Value-chain control and integration with industrial-grade supply chains. Emerging players as well as incumbents are already seeking to control a larger part of the value chain, particularly those currently moving to adopt a product-based construction approach.

For example, Katerra used new technology to productize and to control the value chain, including design and engineering and off-site manufacturing (Case Study 2).

Nearly 85 percent of the survey respondents believe that it is likely that players will move to control the value chain in the future construction industry, and around 71 percent of those believe that this will take place within the next five years.

Case Study 2
Katerra aims to control the construction value chain by leveraging technology
US-based off-site construction company Katerra has raised $1.2 billion to integrate and control the construction value chain. To harness technology, the company integrates activities throughout the construction process, including design and engineering, components supply, and off-site manufacturing. A Katerra-designed and -developed, end-to-end integrated digital platform serves as the backbone from project initiation to delivery. As a result, Katerra’s modular component factories can work in tandem with the company’s automated precast-concrete factories.

Consolidation. The construction industry has already started to consolidate, particularly in specific segments and certain parts of the value chain. Specifically, M&A activity in the engineering and construction industry increased approximately 9 percent a year from 2011 to 2017, reaching approximately $180 billion, while its growth in global M&A volume has been nearly 7 percent a year, reaching $3.2 trillion (see Case Study 3 for an example of consolidation in the equipment-rental industry).

From 2014 to 2017, the industry experienced an average of nearly 100 more M&A transactions a year than it did from 2009 to 2014.

Approximately 82 percent of survey respondents believe that the industry is likely to consolidate, and approximately 57 percent believe that consolidation will occur in the next five years.

Case Study 3
Equipment rental has been significantly consolidated in Europe and North America
As equipment-rental companies seek scale, this industry segment has experienced substantial consolidation. In Europe, for example, Loxam and Boels began in 2015 to apply buy-and-build strategies—
and recently bid to acquire the two foremost equipment-rental companies in northern Europe. Similar movements have been observed in North America, especially in the United States. These moves affect several asset classes, including real estate, infrastructure, and industrial.

**Customer-centricity and branding.** While greater focus on customers and branding is likely to gain scale after the shift to a product-based approach, companies are already intensifying their customer focus. Companies that have incorporated the voice of the customer into their product design have seen an uptick in occupancy rates and satisfaction. For example, the European office developer HB Reavis developed a customer-centric and branded approach for its building projects. The company has earned more than 60 awards since 2015 (Case Study 4).

Eighty-three percent of survey respondents believe that players in the future construction industry will shift their focus toward customers, and approximately 74 percent believe that this shift is likely to take place in the next five years.

**Case Study 4**

**HB Reavis is pursuing a customer-centric and branded business model**

The office market has evolved dramatically over the past decade. Technological advancements accelerated shifts in employees’ work patterns, leading organizations to seek greater flexibility in their office-space arrangements. European real-estate-office developer HB Reavis sought to take advantage of this opportunity by adopting a people-centric approach to the design and construction of flexible work-space solutions. The company used design thinking, ethnographic research, and video prototyping to identify the features and amenities most important to workers. This process produced detailed design briefs that informed project development and construction.

The new brand was named Qubes, and its offices were 95 percent booked before opening. Standardized contract terms for an office package helped to streamline the process for prospective tenants. As important, the extensive user testing resulted in no additional investment in remodeling. Further, 100 percent of HB Reavis buildings under development are expected to become certified by the International WELL Building Institute, a global certification of health and wellness for buildings and interior spaces.

**Investment in new technology and facilities.** R&D spending in construction, at around 1.4 percent of net sales (based on a sample of the 2,500 companies), still lags behind other industries, which spend approximately 4.1 percent. However, indicators suggest the construction industry is increasing its emphasis on R&D, and companies that have invested in construction technology and facilities are gaining traction. In fact, R&D spending in construction has increased by 35 percent since 2013 compared with 25 percent for the total industry (Exhibit 20).

From 2012 to 2018, funding for construction-technology companies increased at a compound annual growth rate (CAGR) of nearly 40 percent versus nearly 27 percent for other companies throughout the total economy.32

Nearly 86 percent of respondents believe that a shift toward more investment in new technologies and facilities is likely to occur, and nearly 72 percent believe that this is likely to happen at scale within the next five years.
Investment in human resources. Players have started to implement more robust HR activities aimed at attracting and retaining employees. Seventy-five percent of engineering and construction players in the United States have made changes to training and development programs in the past two years, to better enable employee retraining and ensure continuous learning of their workforces (Case Study 5).

Approximately 74 percent of our survey respondents believe that the industry will invest more in HR going forward, and 74 percent believe that it is likely to take place at scale in the next five years.

Case Study 5

Bechtel has launched a learning and development academy to support continuous development

A leading engineering, construction, and project-management company, US-based Bechtel focuses on serving both industries and the government. As part of the company’s in-house virtual learning and development program, Bechtel University provides more than 1,000 instructor-led and online courses on leadership, professional development, technical skills, sustainability, languages, safety, and ethics. For its efforts, the academy was awarded two Brandon Hall Awards for technology and innovation in 2016.

Internationalization. This shift has already started to show signs of traction in the construction industry, with larger players moving internationally to gain scale. Our analysis of the top ten contractors by revenue found that their international revenues increased by a CAGR of 2.3 percent from 2013 to 2018, while domestic revenues decreased by a CAGR of 0.7 percent during the same period (Exhibit 21). International revenues accounted for almost 70 percent of their total in 2018.

Nearly 75 percent of our survey respondents believe that players will expand internationally, and 57 percent believe that this is likely to take place at scale in the next five years.
Among the top ten contractors globally, international revenues have increased faster than domestic revenues.

**Domestic vs international average revenue breakdown for top 10 contractors**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Year</th>
<th>Domestic Revenue</th>
<th>International Revenue</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2013</td>
<td>66</td>
<td>34</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2014</td>
<td>66</td>
<td>34</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2015</td>
<td>68</td>
<td>32</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2016</td>
<td>67</td>
<td>33</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2017</td>
<td>68</td>
<td>32</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2018</td>
<td>69</td>
<td>31</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**CAGR 2013–18**

- Domestic revenue: 2.3%
- International revenue: -0.7%

*Sustainability.* There has been a major change in attitudes toward reducing carbon emissions across industries—and construction is one of them. Green building activity continues to rise, driven by both client demands and environmental regulations, as well as a push to create "healthier" buildings and improve occupants’ health. According to the World Green Building Council, building and construction are together responsible for 39 percent of all carbon emissions in the world. Operational emissions (from energy used to heat, cool, and light buildings) account for 28 percent, while the remaining 11 percent comes from embodied carbon emissions associated with materials and construction processes throughout the whole building life cycle.

The United Nations has announced several ambitious targets for sustainability in construction. For example, the target reduction rate for energy intensity per square meter in buildings will be approximately 30 percent by 2030, as defined by the Paris Agreement. Furthermore, several of the largest players have already established ambitious carbon-reduction targets for the future (Case Study 6). However, the fragmented and project-based nature of the construction sector creates additional challenges for the adoption of the sustainable practices, often coupled with the lack of educated green-construction professionals.

Nearly 90 percent of respondents believe that sustainability in construction will be important at scale going forward, and 79 percent believe that the shift will take place in the next five years.
Case Study 6

Several of the largest construction and materials players have set ambitious sustainability targets

Many large construction companies have set ambitious carbon-reduction targets for the coming years. For example, both BAM and Skanska have announced plans to reduce CO₂ emissions by 50 percent by 2030. Other companies have set a goal of using 100 percent renewable fuel as early as 2022. Sustainability is high on the agenda for cement players as well, thanks in part to pressure coming from investors. Germany’s HeidelbergCement plans to produce carbon-neutral concrete by 2050, with overall CO₂ reduction targets being assessed against the criteria of the Science Based Targets initiative.¹

The COVID-19 crisis will accelerate the transformation

According to our additional survey conducted in light of the Covid-19 outbreak, around two-thirds of respondents expect the pandemic to accelerate the impending changes and transformation of the industry. Fifty-three percent of the respondents have also started to invest more to adjust to the new future (Exhibit 22).

Specifically, a majority of the respondents expect the crisis to accelerate the disruptions ahead (Exhibit 23). An exception is the expectation regarding disruptive market entrants, as many start-ups and tech companies struggle with financing in the unfolding situation. Around one-third of the respondents also state that their companies have started to invest more in the respective dimensions since the outbreak (especially in digitalization of sales channels and products).

Also, around two-thirds of respondents believe that the COVID-19 crisis will accelerate the nine shifts. They also stated that their companies have started to invest more in these dimensions (especially in technology and facilities, as well as value-chain control). However, one-third of the respondents expect the shifts toward more industrialization and investments in human resources to slow down (Exhibit 24).

Exhibit 22

Two-thirds of survey respondents believe that the COVID-19 crisis will accelerate industry transformation.

As a result of COVID-19, do you believe that transformation of the construction industry will accelerate, stay the same, or slow down?

Share of respondents, %

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Significantly slow down</th>
<th>Slowly</th>
<th>Stay the same</th>
<th>Accelerate</th>
<th>Significantly accelerate</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Overall transformation of the construction industry</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>19</td>
<td>55</td>
<td>7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Around two-thirds of respondents believe that the COVID-19 crisis will accelerate the overall transformation of the construction industry

As a result of COVID-19, has your company increased overall investments to adapt to the new future?

Share of respondents, %

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Increased investments to adapt to the new future</th>
<th>No</th>
<th>Yes</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>More than 50% of respondents’ companies have started to invest more to adjust to the new future</td>
<td>47</td>
<td>53</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Source: Survey of 100 industry CxOs, May 2020
Owners and financial institutions need to support change

The industry cannot change in isolation. Rather, change must be a joint effort by both players in the ecosystem and those they interact with. In particular, both customers that commission construction projects and the institutions that finance them must adapt in order to enable change. Demand must exist for players to invest in the capabilities that are required to enable the shifts, and that may require stakeholders to alter how they define value as well as to whom it accrues.

Historically, owners have opted for customized solutions, but some level of standardization is necessary for the efficiency gains outlined in the future ecosystem to be viable. Owners must embrace new, more modular approaches to design to create demand for it in the marketplace. Such a shift would not be altruistic. Indeed, owners should expect to reap multiple benefits in the future ecosystem. Long-term-efficiency gains are expected to materialize as customer surplus, which would result in the generation of additional output by customers' spending. Moreover, the future ecosystem is expected to focus on and improve TCO, thus further benefiting owners. Last, shifts could result in greater overall transparency, which owners could use to improve performance per dollar spent as well as reap other benefits.

The future of construction also requires new financing solutions as well as a willingness to change the risk profile of investments. A shift to a product-based approach would affect project schedules and the total
Around two-thirds of respondents believe that most industry shifts will accelerate as a result of the COVID-19 crisis, although internationalization and investment in people are expected to slow down.

As a result of COVID-19, which [of these shifts] do you believe will accelerate, stay the same, or slow down?
Share of respondents rating shifts, %

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Shift</th>
<th>Significantly slow down</th>
<th>Slow down</th>
<th>Stay the same</th>
<th>Accelerate</th>
<th>Significantly accelerate</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Product-based approach</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>49</td>
<td>35</td>
<td>7</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Specialization</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>37</td>
<td>35</td>
<td>13</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Value-chain control and integration with industrial-grade supply chains</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>58</td>
<td>12</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Consolidation</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>23</td>
<td>57</td>
<td>14</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Customer-centricity and branding</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>35</td>
<td>44</td>
<td>13</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Investment in technology and facilities</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>19</td>
<td>54</td>
<td>15</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Investment in human resources</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>28</td>
<td>32</td>
<td>34</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Internationalization</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>37</td>
<td>31</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sustainability</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>32</td>
<td>41</td>
<td>12</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Around two-thirds of respondents believe that the COVID-19 crisis will accelerate virtually all emerging disruptions (disruptive market entrants being the exception)

Source: Survey of 100 industry CxOs, May 2020

As a result of COVID-19, has your company increased investments in the respective shifts? Share of respondents, %

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Shift</th>
<th>No</th>
<th>Yes</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Product-based approach</td>
<td>73</td>
<td>27</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Specialization</td>
<td>65</td>
<td>35</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Value-chain control and integration with industrial-grade supply chains</td>
<td>48</td>
<td>52</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Consolidation</td>
<td>68</td>
<td>32</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Customer-centricity and branding</td>
<td>54</td>
<td>46</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Investment in technology and facilities</td>
<td>40</td>
<td>60</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Investment in human resources</td>
<td>66</td>
<td>34</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Internationalization</td>
<td>83</td>
<td>17</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sustainability</td>
<td>56</td>
<td>44</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Around one-third of respondents’ companies have invested more in most shifts, especially in technology and value-chain control

The required overall construction period would be shorter, thus decreasing the overall amount of time for which financing is required. However, a larger share of financing could also be required up front. To create incentives for players to embrace change, financial institutions must therefore develop products tailored to the new demands. However, since market risk and project complexity are also expected to decline, financial institutions will need to reexamine how they price risk into their products to ensure an attractive return. This also holds true for other closely linked institutions such as insurance companies, where some are already factoring use of modern methods of construction into their terms.
5 All players must prepare now for a fundamentally different next normal

To effectively manage industry disruption, companies throughout the construction ecosystem must change their strategies, business models, and operating models. They will need to put the enablers in place to survive in the new world and choose their own transformation approach. Some segments will be more affected than others, but each will have its own winning moves.

COVID-19 makes bold strategic action yet more important. During crises, companies that take fast, bold strategic action beyond managing survival tend to emerge as the winners. Looking at the past economic cycles, companies that managed to move fast on productivity (such as reducing cost of goods sold through operational efficiency), divested earlier and pursued more acquisitions in the recovery, and cleaned up their balance sheets ahead of the downturn outperformed competition in both revenues and EBITDA.33

Players adjacent to the construction ecosystem should react to the changes in various ways, to both facilitate and benefit from change. First, investors are advised to use foresight on the respective changes when evaluating opportunities. Second, policy makers should have a high level of interest in making the entire
industry more productive and in achieving better housing and infrastructure outcomes. Third, owners are expected to benefit as the industry changes but will need to play an active part in making the shifts happen.

Four segments of companies are set to face the largest long-term decline independent of the COVID-19 impact: materials distributors, generalist contractors, specialist contractors, and design and engineering firms. These players could face commoditization as well as a declining share of value. In addition, respondents believe that general contractors will be required to move first (Exhibit 25).

Exhibit 25

While all players will be affected, industry leaders believe general contractors will be required to move first and materials distributors will see the largest decline.

Which players in the value chain do you think will be required to change their way of operating first to adjust to the new construction industry landscape?
Share of respondents rating player types as "required to change first," %

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Player Type</th>
<th>Required to Change First, %</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>General contractors</td>
<td>66</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Developers</td>
<td>64</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Design and engineering firms</td>
<td>64</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Specialist contractors</td>
<td>51</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Owners</td>
<td>41</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Basic-material manufacturers</td>
<td>39</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Component manufacturers</td>
<td>37</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Software supply</td>
<td>34</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Materials distributors</td>
<td>32</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Financiers</td>
<td>30</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Machinery manufacturers</td>
<td>20</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Machinery rental companies</td>
<td>13</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>No player</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Average: ~38%
Two-thirds of respondents believe that general contractors, developers, and design and engineering firms will be required to move first

Which type of E&C player do you think will see the largest decline in ten years (or even stop existing)?
Share of respondents rating player types as "will see the largest decline (or even stop existing)," %

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Player Type</th>
<th>Will See Largest Decline, %</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>General contractors</td>
<td>17</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Developers</td>
<td>9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Design and engineering firms</td>
<td>12</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Specialist contractors</td>
<td>15</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Owners</td>
<td>6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Basic-material manufacturers</td>
<td>10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Component manufacturers</td>
<td>6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Software supply</td>
<td>6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Materials distributors</td>
<td>20</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Financiers</td>
<td>N/A</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Machinery manufacturers</td>
<td>6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Machinery rental companies</td>
<td>7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>No player</td>
<td>41</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Average: ~13%
20% of respondents believe that materials distributors will see the largest decline (or even stop existing) in ten years

Source: McKinsey survey of 400 construction-industry CxOs; expert interviews; McKinsey analysis

The next normal in construction
All players will need to act on the nine shifts that are ahead
In response to the industry transformation detailed throughout this report, companies will need to reinvent themselves and redefine their strategies and business and operating models (Exhibit 26). A typical journey might start with an initial strategy-resetting effort including a review of where to play and how to win, and include an assessment of how—and how strongly—the nine shifts will affect focus markets, and what requirements are put on future winning business and operating models. Companies will also need to put in place a set of enablers to make them successful for the future and choose how they want to implement the new strategy. Given the highly dynamic of the changing construction industry, successful strategy formulation and direction setting need to be approached as a constant reiterative process.

The strategy (resetting) effort needs to determine “where to play.” Which asset classes, segments, geographies, and value-chain steps are large, growing, profitable, and at acceptable levels of risk? In which ones can a company build a sustained competitive advantage and barriers to entry? The spectrum may range from global development of high-end office towers through the regional provision of precision cross-laminated timber for residential prefab to continent-wide steel bridge construction or owner-operation of logistics facilities.

Following the question of “where to play,” companies must assess the impact of the nine shifts on “how to win”; that is, the implications for future winning business and operating models. The answer will greatly differ between segments—for example, small residential refurb projects compared with multibillion-dollar oil and gas projects or between basic-materials supply versus machinery rental. Executives in all sectors, however, will need to answer questions related to the expected impact of each of the nine shifts. Following are a few thought starters:

**Product-based approach.** How far can I productize and standardize my product and service offering? Which target customer groups do I want to address? What kind of customization will be necessary and economical to offer to them? Should I try to follow current customer demands or attempt to act as a market maker? Do I want to explicitly avoid productization and focus on high-end, individual projects?

**Specialization.** How much do I want to specialize in niches in the markets I’ve chosen to play in? What is the right balance between creating competitive advantage and experience versus balancing the order pipeline and market cyclicality? Can I be a regional leader across many segments?

**Value-chain control and integration with industrial-grade supply chains.** What interface complexities do I have today, and to what extent do they constrain innovation and efficiency improvements? Can and should I enter—or disintermediate—adjacent value-chain steps? Do I need M&A to do that? Can I achieve end-to-end control of the value chain through strategic alliances and partnerships? Do my chosen partners share the same vision of how to innovate value delivery and business models?

**Customer-centricity and branding.** If I aim to be a recognized leader in my chosen markets, how can I build brands to support that goal—and what values should those brands convey? How can I balance branding at the corporate level with products or services and by region? How can I shift my business model to create a better outcome and experience for my business partners as well as eventual customers, even if the current ecosystem’s incentive structure might be set up to benefit from overengineering to increase project volumes, change orders and claims, fudging market transparency, or unjustifiably passing on risks?

**Consolidation.** What scale do I need to enable the required massive increase in investment in technology, facilities, and human resources and to build critical scale in all target markets? Can I achieve that scale organically, or do I need M&A? Would sell-side M&A be an option for me?
All players will need to reinvent themselves and redefine their strategies and business and operating models.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Review where to play</th>
<th>Impact assessment of shifts and disruptions</th>
<th>Review how to win</th>
<th>Enablers</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Which asset classes?</td>
<td>Product-based approach</td>
<td>Disrupt</td>
<td>Attract and build <strong>top talent</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Specialization</td>
<td></td>
<td>Move the organization toward an <strong>agile</strong> and flexible setup</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Which segments?</td>
<td>Value-chain control and integration</td>
<td></td>
<td>Build a <strong>network of partners</strong> and align contractual incentives</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>with industrial-grade supply chains</td>
<td></td>
<td><strong>Educate customers</strong> on productivity and time gains</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Which geographies?</td>
<td>Consolidation</td>
<td></td>
<td><strong>Move fast</strong> and be a front-runner</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Customer-centricity and branding</td>
<td></td>
<td>Prioritize <strong>digital skills</strong> and make data-driven decisions</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Investment in technology and facilities</td>
<td></td>
<td>Build your <strong>brand</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Which value-chain steps?</td>
<td>Investment in human resources</td>
<td></td>
<td>Set up for <strong>new supply-chain</strong> or logistics landscape</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Internationalization</td>
<td></td>
<td>Build local <strong>know-how</strong> to overcome perceived regulatory barriers</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Sustainability</td>
<td></td>
<td>Use <strong>ruthless focus</strong> and apply <strong>lean</strong> execution</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Industrialization</td>
<td></td>
<td><strong>Raise capital</strong> and invest</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>New materials</td>
<td></td>
<td>Launch <strong>programmatic M&amp;A</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Digitalization</td>
<td></td>
<td>Apply a “<strong>trial and error</strong>” approach</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>New entrants</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Strategy implementation and transformation approach:** Broad transformation, new divisions in new setup, arm’s-length subsidiary attacker, and M&A
**Investment in technology and facilities.** What activities can I automate or digitize? What are the right technology suppliers? How can I build out or migrate my IT platforms to be future-proof? Which activities can be taken from project sites to factories? What is the right production-footprint balance versus logistics cost?

**Investment in human resources.** How can I revamp the culture of my organization to embrace change? What skill-building activities for my staff will be needed? What sort of new talent will I need? And, particularly in technology, how can I successfully compete on the recruiting market? Might an attractive new strategy and brand be part of the answer?

**Internationalization.** In which target geographies can I be successful? How do I balance governance at the regional, business-segment, and functional levels? Can I use low-cost country-sourcing or production-footprint strategies? How can I allow for regional variation in building codes and industry dynamics?

**Sustainability.** How can I raise the environmental and social sustainability of my operations, my products, and the inputs I source? How far can I go—and how fast—to meet regulations as well as my own ethical standards? How can I create a business case for investments in sustainability?

Incumbents also need to decide to what extent they should focus on defending their core business and adjusting to the changing environment compared with actively reinventing themselves to attack and disrupt the markets they operate in. Disruptive plays require risk taking and investment, but successful moves can be rewarded with step changes in profitability and valuation multiples.

**Defend the core and transform.** Players that prefer not to actively disrupt the markets they are in may choose to focus on segments that will be less affected by the impending shifts, such as renovation or unique, iconic buildings. These segments may face declining market share and increasing levels of price competition, however. Consequently, players will need to continually improve their capabilities and competitiveness as well as their ability to manage risks. And they will need to adjust to the changing environment. Contractors, for example, will need to invest in on-site automation, digitization of processes, end-to-end adoption of BIM, or sourcing of high-value pods that simplify construction on site.

**Reinvent to attack and disrupt.** More aspirational incumbents may choose to reinvent current business models and expand far beyond the current core to be leaders in the industry’s transformation. They would explicitly target the segments where change is imminent, consolidate value chains, embrace online direct channels with advanced logistics management, apply programmatic M&A to achieve scale, or invest in manufacturing capabilities and capacities well beyond the scale of their current project pipeline.

Regardless of chosen strategies, a set of enablers can benefit all players in the ecosystem. As indicated by our survey, attracting and building top talent is of primary importance (Exhibit 27). In fact, 90 percent of the surveyed industry experts believe this action will be the most important one in the future ecosystem. In addition, 82 percent of the respondents consider moving toward an agile organizational setup critical, and 78 percent cite building a network of partners and aligning contractual incentives.

Finally, companies need to define how to implement and achieve a corporate transformation. At the most basic level, several options exist. Some companies will build new business units in line with the target business, operating model, and new-market environment—and, over time, transfer more and more volume and resources to that unit. Other companies will attempt to transform their incumbent or core operations.
Yet others might opt to start new attacker businesses at arm's length from the incumbent operations. And some will use buy- or sell-side M&A to jump-start a move to new business and operating models. Depending on the circumstances, all of these approaches can succeed.

Each industry type has its own winning moves
In the years to come, each player in the value chain must react to or drive the shifts and reinvent business and operating models. We provide short examples and vignettes of the type of changes ahead, as inspiration for the development of individualized winning strategies.
Public- and private-sector developers of real-estate, infrastructure, and industrial projects orchestrate the development process from beginning to end: securing financing, sourcing land, and scoping and overseeing value-adding projects. As customer expectations continue to evolve rapidly, developers look set to increasingly specialize and invest in productizing and branding their offerings, which will increasingly require multinational scale and leave behind those working in traditional ways. Specialization will be key to attract customers, and developers need to decide whether they want to focus on slivers, whether they want to develop individual opportunities or also establish quarter or precinct capabilities, and which of the required capabilities they need to own versus source. Further, a deep understanding of customer needs, by segment and subsegment, will be at the heart of the most successful strategies, whatever the sector or asset type; without it, the assets that have been built can quickly become obsolete.

The most successful players are already bringing together these customer insights with product and supply-chain innovation to deliver high-performing projects. For example, leading commercial real-estate developers are building direct relationships with end users and creating spaces that can rapidly be repurposed as demand changes. Similarly, highway developers are connecting directly with drivers to better understand usage patterns to inform future highway design and operation. In many ways, developers set the tone for the whole industry.

Since developers sit at the top of the value chain, they can strongly influence how and how fast disruption in other parts of the value chain takes place, including actively steering companies toward industrial production of their offerings as well as library-based designs and subsystems. Such approaches can reduce the cost, time, and risk of projects—all improvements in the financial viability of projects—which may translate into higher profits, greater volume, or value shifting to customers. Only with the right signals from developers will change spread through the rest of the ecosystem. Here the public sector has an important role to play given that in aggregate, the sector is a very sizable developer (and owner).

Transform

Double down on segments less affected by shifts where you have a distinctive expertise?

— Continue with the current business model, emphasizing segments facing less disruption in the medium term (e.g., renovation, maintenance, improvement)?
— Invest in deeper understanding of customer segments?
— Identify and invest in core capabilities (e.g., land access and acquisition)?

Augment current offerings with technology and module sourcing?

— Identify opportunities to use prefabricated and module sourcing (e.g., through standardized structural-frame modules for all buildings a standard catalog of kitchens) in a targeted way, to substantially reduce project risk and improve time and cost parameters?
— Invest in digital technology to accelerate and reduce the risk of target segments (e.g., identification of land parcels using geographic information system, real-time progress tracking using lidar scanning, digital twin to streamline operations and maintenance)?
— Aim to be a global leader in offerings by scaling operations and entering international markets?
— Build a digital backbone to support end-to-end customer journeys, from acquisition through specification, design, execution, and handover?
— Look for opportunities for vertical integration and control of the value chain by integrating design and engineering and manufacturing?
— Establish new funding and risk-management structures to accommodate new delivery models?
— Capture operational data to continuously refine and improve designs for future projects?
— Use develop-and-hold strategies to capture TCO advantages of product or service offerings?

Disrupt

Create a product house (with or without manufacturing) and build a vertically integrated platform business with close links to customers?

— Develop a carefully composed, specialized product and service portfolio that is tailored to end users and purposefully designed for industrialized manufacturing at scale (ideally, influencing the establishment of industry standards in the long term)?
— Aim to be a global leader in offerings by scaling operations and entering international markets?
— Build a digital backbone to support end-to-end customer journeys, from acquisition through specification, design, execution, and handover?
— Look for opportunities for vertical integration and control of the value chain by integrating design and engineering and manufacturing?
— Establish new funding and risk-management structures to accommodate new delivery models?
— Capture operational data to continuously refine and improve designs for future projects?
— Use develop-and-hold strategies to capture TCO advantages of product or service offerings?
Disruption could fundamentally change what it means to be an engineer or an architect in the construction industry. Historically, these professionals have applied their considerable expertise to create designs and specifications for individual projects: each design optimized to meet the project’s unique requirements. The coming years will see these stand-alone professional-services firms closely collaborating with productized and branded developers, off-site construction firms, and highly specialized contractors as an integrated R&D-like function. The firms will increasingly add value through the standardization of structure and subsystem designs, by developing standardized design libraries of products in their target segment that are highly integrable to allow for a customizable whole. This modular design will be reused for a large set of construction projects. In this way, design and engineering firms could influence industry standards. As the industry shifts to a more product-based approach, the challenge for engineering and architecture firms will be to reskill their workforces and hire the right talent to design in this new world.

Of course, modularization and automation will not apply to all projects: highly architectural or complex projects will have limited degrees of standardization. Similarly, refurbishment-maintenance-improvement (RMI) projects are likely to continue to follow more traditional design approaches for some time. In affected segments, however, design and engineering firms are likely to improve their efficiency by using standardized products and libraries, as well as more software-based automation and parametric design—though the extent will depend on how the transformation plays out. The best performers will still stand to gain. Large owners may pay a premium for modular or customizable product design, as only a few firms have the required capabilities and experience. But as the industry adjusts to designs that can be replicated and adapted multiple times, the volume of work is likely to decrease in the affected segments. The need for redesign is also expected to decrease drastically with more specialized and productized approaches, generating significant cost savings for design and engineering and putting their respective value at stake.
A large share of inputs used in construction projects involve processing raw materials such as cement, steel, wood, or glass. Many players in this sector are already large, global firms with slower-moving shifts in value-chain dynamics. The most pronounced impact might arise from a transition to new, lighter-weight materials, as well as higher requirements on sustainability, recycling, and waste reduction. While the industry should benefit from long-term (post-cycle) growth of the construction market, the volume of traditional materials, such as the amount of cement, looks set to decline on a per-building basis (including due to a rise of lighter-weight off-site module production and, consequently, less strong foundations). Digitalization and consolidation of the distribution and contracting landscape may alter logistics and customer interfaces. It will be critical for the industry to stay ahead of those developments, raising the pace of innovation and the level of customer intimacy.

**Basic materials manufacturers**

**Transform**

Double down on operational excellence by, among other actions, digitalizing processes and plants, adopting agile and lean principles, and using advanced analytics in the core business?

- Raise the level of customer intimacy, understanding better how to address customers’ primary challenges and improving (digital) interactions?

**Invest in sustainability and higher pace of innovation?**

- Develop, brand, and advocate for products that are sustainable or made from zero-carbon materials?
- Invest in materials recycling, and find a strong position vis-à-vis contractors?
- Increase use of alternative fuels?
- Invest in new types of talent with backgrounds in product development, construction, and digital—and avoid the pure-cost-cutting culture that prevails in the industry?

**Disrupt**

Invest in a portfolio of products and technologies that will eventually cannibalize your core business?

- Manufacture materials that enable lower TCO through energy efficiency?
- Carefully monitor materials choices in module production, and build a presence or alternative?

**Proactively disrupt company’s footprint?**

- Disrupt your own production footprint with advanced digital and carbon-neutral plants?
- Plan downscaling and exit road maps for outdated facilities?
- Create further regional consolidation, including through distressed assets and corporate failures?

**Integrate in value chain by developing own solutions?**

- Create modular-construction business units; for instance in infrastructure like bridges?
- Become the one-stop shop for building materials?
Today, components such as elevators, HVAC equipment, and pipes are often produced using a silo-like approach, which limits the ability to integrate the components once they have been installed in buildings. Installers tend to have strong affinities for specific suppliers—due to either personal experience or suppliers’ incentive schemes—while the brand affiliation of end customers is usually not as strong.

Several of the nine shifts will most strongly affect component manufacturers: productization and standardization (including through BIM object libraries) and online channels will increase price transparency and lead to commoditization. Consolidation will improve the bargaining power of large contractors or modular-construction firms, and internationalization can lead to low-cost-country sourcing. In turn, the best companies can push consolidation and market share gains themselves to achieve further economies of scale, and offer solutions with a TCO advantage and value-adding digital services. They might also shift from manufacturing components to entire modules or subsystems, direct-sales approaches, and orchestrating just-in-time logistics to prefabocation or eventual construction sites.

**Thought starters for Component manufacturers**

**Transform**

Gain scale and standardize across borders?
— Consolidate with other players and internationalize presence to gain benefits from increased scale?
— Standardize production across borders?

Invest in sustainable solutions and optimize energy efficiency for customers?
— Develop products and solutions that help customers optimize their operations in terms of energy usage throughout the entire system?
— Offer services to help customers evaluate energy usage and improve efficiency where possible?

Invest in digital channels while avoiding commoditization?
— Invest in digital and BIM object libraries and shift go-to-market strategies to influence component choices earlier in the value chain?
— Build direct channels to emerging product houses, module suppliers, and consolidated contractors?
— Invest in R&D, brand, and differentiation to decrease the potential effects of standardization and increased transparency, including value-adding features and services and value-based pricing models?
— Build or integrate into industrial-grade digital supply chains from factory to site?

**Disrupt**

Adjust the business model to be able to charge for output instead of actual products?
— Change business and pricing models to charge for the actual savings or benefits that are generated (e.g., optimized energy systems for heating, ventilation, lighting, etc) instead of charging for the actual products?
— Take shared responsibility for optimizing customer costs and benefits (e.g., energy usage) in the entire system?
— Move forward along the value chain to producing modules?

Modularize products and service offerings to enable standardization and reduce variances?
— Seek to modularize product offerings to be able to provide standardized submodules that can be combined into entire systems and solutions?
Over the next two decades, manufacturers will transition from producing traditional heavy machinery and tools used in the construction process to highly automated, connected products used in the ecosystem. The new equipment will be integrated with robotics that could be used in the ecosystem’s manufacturing processes—for example, in plants for building materials, components, and buildings. Rather than simply selling products, manufacturers will offer services that are completed with their products. This will increase the value added of machinery, and increasing automation will support greater volume. In addition, the demand for machinery used in off-site fabrication is expected to rise. In turn, improved efficiency and reduced time requirements for on-site work are expected to have a negative impact on the volume of machines sold.

Thought starters for Machinery manufacturers

Transform

Consolidate and internationalize the company footprint as products become more standardized?
- Focus on internationalizing as preferences and the way machines are used become more harmonized across regions?
- Engage in M&A activity and identify attractive targets for acquisition?

Future-proof the product portfolio in line with trends and general development of the market—for example, IoT or vehicle electrification?
- Develop a product portfolio that seamlessly integrates into an end-to-end, controlled, industrial-grade supply chain (requiring IoT connectivity)?
- Adopt an electric fleet and product portfolio to prepare for lower carbon-emission requirements?
- Build supporting infrastructure, such as charging stations for electric vehicles and 5G connectivity on site as well as training and certification programs for operators?
- Help customers to evaluate their energy usage and optimize energy efficiency in operations?

Right-size areas expected to be negatively affected by shifts to a product-based approach?
- Identify the areas in the business that could be negatively affected by the various shifts (for example, tower cranes used in residential real estate, as project timelines are expected to get shorter)?
- Divest or “milk” different areas of the business, and invest the generated cash in other parts of the business?
- Shift the product portfolio toward the tools and machinery used in off-site construction facilities?

Invest in digital and develop agnostic software to optimize the entire fleet on site?
- Develop machines and tools that are compatible with each other and able to run on different types of software, since customers want to optimize their entire fleets of mixed brands?
- Develop products that are compatible and can be connected to different types of site protocols (e.g., BIM)?
- Invest in bulletproof systems to handle and access data (e.g., to avoid unauthorized people taking control of machines)?

Disrupt

Build automated machinery for use in future off-site manufacturing facilities?

Develop robotics and autonomous vehicles used on site for select, repetitive tasks (e.g., bricklaying)?

Adjust the business model to be able to charge for output (e.g., machine uptime, tons of earth moved) instead of actual products?
Transform

Focus on segments that the shifts will affect less than others?
— Stay in a traditional materials-distributor role but focus on projects expected to be produced on site in the foreseeable future, such as refurbishment work or in other segments that are expected to have low levels of standardization?

Concentrate on operational excellence, better customer-value propositions, and integrated systems, to avoid disintermediation?
— Focus on operational improvements, lean execution, category reviews, commercial and pricing excellence, and procurement optimization?
— Reduce the carbon footprint of operations and materials?

Distributors procure, store, and transport basic materials, components, and equipment and resell them to consumers and businesses. Some distributors also provide credit. Part of this model is the organization of logistics and inventory, primarily for construction sites and installers.

Several of the nine shifts may hit distributors in a negative way. Productization, standardization, and consolidation will move decisions and procurement upstream from small specialized subcontractors to large contractors and product-based developers, increasing bargaining power and reducing the breadth of materials needed. Better and earlier planning using BIM and digital twins will reinforce those shifts and reduce the need for local stock. Off-site manufacturing facilities will shift demand for shipments to factory hubs, with more predictable levels of demand, which will be the main logistics nodes and decrease the need for a dense storage network close to construction sites, while also raising the expectation of just-in-time delivery. Internationalization will enable more sourcing from low-cost countries. Online and direct-sales channels, including new competition from online distribution behemoths—which serve customers with high expectations and use increasing amounts of technology, such as advanced analytics or automated warehouses—will further reshape this segment.

While these shifts pose a major threat to distributors that lack scale and logistics capabilities, they provide opportunities to companies that have them. There is an opportunity to consolidate the sector, supported by lean efficiencies, category reviews, and new business solutions. Direct-to-customer digital interaction channels and interfaces connecting to BIM and building-management systems allow better integration into the value chain. Distributors can fill the roles of the logistics hubs of the future construction landscape by using advanced analytics in logistics, demand forecasting, and inventory management to allow just-in-time delivery from suppliers to modular-construction factories to construction sites. Distributors can create new value for customers by helping with international sourcing, offering credit finance, packing in assembly order, offering in-room delivery, making deliveries before the working day, providing on-site logistics planning and operations, or even handling simple pre-assembly.34

Disrupt

Become the logistics hub of the future construction landscape?
— Integrate or partner with other players in the value chain to create and control an industrial-grade supply chain?
— Partner closely with off-site manufacturers and materials suppliers to optimize logistics and inventory according to their needs and prioritize just-in-time delivery?

Thought starters for Materials distributors and logistics players

— Create a digital user experience to match those of leading online players?
— Allow integration with BIM and building-management solutions, allowing direct ordering from models as well as improving forecasting?
— Provide flexible and just-in-time logistics and value-adding logistics services (e.g., packing in assembly order, in-room delivery, delivery before the next working day)?
— Focus on the core value proposition, superior availability, inventory transparency, best-in-class logistics, and demand forecasting using advanced analytics?
— Improve customer relationships with advice and financing services?

Consolidate to gain scale in each region of presence?
— Consolidate with other players to gain benefits from increased scale?
Currently, rental businesses provide companies in the ecosystem with an efficient capital-expenditure option for yellow machinery and tools, which help to optimize equipment utilization. Digital technologies will enable greater efficiency through on-site logistics, either providing the right machinery at the right time or operating and using the machinery for customers. By offering digital services, rental companies gather usage data from products to enable best-in-class employment of machinery for customers.

Some machinery—particularly tools—is expected to be moved off site, trending toward higher utilization and limited rentals rather than direct purchases. This shift will affect machinery-rental players negatively, although only to a small extent. In turn, service-based business models and IoT-connected machinery and tools could compensate for these shifts. Machinery for groundwork will likely be less affected by the upcoming shifts.

Thought starters for Machinery-rental players

Transform

Generate data-driven productivity insights?
— Collect data critical for equipment to generate “customer-ready” insights, through collaboration that improves project planning, productivity, and safety, including equipment usage (encouraging early returns and pay-as-you-go-type services), equipment and labor productivity (based on hours of operation), or unsafe behavior?

Capitalize on major trends and build supporting infrastructure?
— Lead the way on deployment and support of the new wave of equipment in the field, such as electric vehicles, autonomous vehicles, and robotics?
— Build supporting infrastructure, such as charging stations for electric vehicles and 5G connectivity on site, as well as training and certification programs for operators?

— Help customers evaluate their energy usage, and optimize energy efficiency in operations?
— Increase service offerings to help customers maintain a focus on their core expertise?
— Take on responsibility for tasks that are unrelated to contractors’ and owners’ core businesses (e.g., logistics, waste handling) to help them focus on their main sources of value add?

Disrupt

Adjust the business model to be able to charge for output (e.g., machine uptime, tons of earth moved) instead of actual products?

— Invest in digital and agnostic software to optimize entire fleet at site?
— Build fleet of machines and tools that are compatible and able to run on different types of software, since customers want to optimize their entire fleet of mixed brands?
— Offer products that are compatible with each other and can be connected to different types of site protocols (e.g., BIM)?
— Invest in bulletproof systems to handle and access data (e.g., to avoid unauthorized people taking control of machines)?
Today, off-site construction companies primarily manufacture building elements, structures, or modules for real estate—but also for industrial structures and infrastructure like bridge segments. Overall, off-site construction is still a relatively young and immature part of the larger construction ecosystem, with high fragmentation and smaller-scale players that use mostly manual labor.

All nine of the shifts described in this report are expected to positively shape future demand for off-site construction in one way or another, and the collective effect is expected to be the most significant throughout the ecosystem. Led by a product-based approach, standardization, and sustainability, the coming years will see a shift to manufacturing a broad range of products off site, typically on a manual or automated production line.

Off-site construction will see much deeper integration even in flat-pack design such as doors, windows, and fully preinstalled mechanical, electrical, and plumbing systems. Data will be flexibly transferred from BIM models to the automated factory controls for decent customization within standard designs. The level of integration and connector technology aims to require no skilled labor on final site and enable extremely fast building times. There will be a mix of flat-pack (2-D) and volumetric (3-D) preconstruction. These products will then be assembled on site.

Through close collaboration with designers or integrated R&D departments, companies will build standardized libraries of subsystems that allow mass customization. We expect off-site construction companies to specialize by end-user segments, such as hospitals or certain types of bridges. Branding will become important to differentiate offerings.

While off-site construction is expected to enjoy massive demand growth in the near term, owner expectations and requirements will increase. For instance, the capability to integrate sustainability and new materials, and flexible automation (minimal work on final site) will become increasingly important criteria. As a consequence, the future landscape is likely to look very different from today’s. Players that can differentiate at scale will stand head and shoulders above others. Some of those players might already exist in today’s ecosystem, while others might arise from new entrants that see opportunities in areas such as real estate or infrastructure being the next platform for the deployment of smart technology. Either way, future winners will look very different from the players that exist today.

We expect off-site construction companies to specialize by end-user segments, such as hospitals or certain types of bridges. Branding will become important to differentiate offerings.
Transform

Achieve economies of scale?
— Build a sufficiently large factory and secure sufficient throughput for ensuring repeatability, learning, and volume savings on procurement?
— Secure a robust pipeline of projects to ensure capacity utilization, possibly via strategic partnerships?
— Specialize to target individual segments and align operating model accordingly?
— Select a segment to pursue and develop products accordingly?
— Set up production system in line with the targeted segment, such as maximizing cost efficiency or allowing flexibility?

Optimize design for production and logistics?
— Apply design thinking to enable production efficiencies?
— Develop standardization that allows for mass customization?
— Design and choose materials to make transport and assembly easier?

Build digital end-to-end processes?
— Set up digital front ends for customers to allow mass customization?
— Deploy digital platform that supports processes—including customer interaction, design, machinery and tools, logistics, assembly, quality control, and facility management?

Optimize production footprint in line with markets and characteristics of products or logistics?
— Depending on the volume and weight of modules and the geographic scale, consider (1) setting up one large plant to cover an entire region; (2) building the plant close to project sites (if serving megaprojects); (3) focusing on metropolitan areas (large capitals); or (4) serving all sites by setting up offshore manufacturing in low-cost locations?

Build ecosystem of partners?
— Build close relationships with banks to ensure customer access to financing?
— Build partnerships with contractors for assembly and project risk management and with developers for early involvement in projects?

Automate production in factory, including Industry 4.0 setup and mass customization?
— Introduce manufacturing robotics and other automation technology?
— Ensure a steady demand pipeline to utilize factory, and plan facilities to use automation effectively?
— Procure materials and components that allow for automated production (with higher accuracy)?
— Build automated factory with inherent flexibility to allow for customization while maintaining cost efficiency?

Disrupt

Integrate and own the entire value chain?
— Acquire design capabilities to manage the development of standardized products tailored for the manufacturing process?
— Integrate materials supply at the back end of the value chain to help capture gains from standardization, and internalize distributor and original-equipment manufacturers’ markups?
— Leverage increased control to become best in class at logistics, and apply just-in-time delivery to avoid unnecessary on-site storage?
— Influence the establishment of industry standards for the design of subsystems?
— Build own development capabilities, and raise funds for ownership and operations of assets?

Build a library of subsystems and try to influence the emergence of standards (after reaching scale)?

Make a data and TCO play?
— Capitalize on TCO advantages by including and managing sensors and smart building components; also combine scale and as-built BIM models for predictive maintenance?
— Capture and leverage building-use data?
General contractors face major commoditization risk. Part of the construction work looks set to shift off site. And digitalization, specialization, and productization will reduce risks and project-management needs and thus hollow out the role of the general contractor. However, the demand for the traditional contractor role is expected to continue for projects less affected by shifts, such as renovation or other areas with low levels of standardization. To differentiate themselves from the competition, general contractors will focus on becoming lean in execution to manage costs, closely integrate with the rest of the value chain, and specialize on end-user segments and subsegments within an asset class.

Transform

Specialize and win in segments in which shifts are less prevalent and expertise creates an edge?
— Identify an end-user segment in which expertise generates a competitive edge, such as hospitals, and become best in class?
— Focus on segments expected to be less affected than others by shifts, such as refurbishment, or segments expected to have low levels of standardization?
— Leverage off-site manufacturing to the extent applicable in the segment, but maintain the contractor role and learn to manage risk very well?

Focus on operational excellence and lean execution of on-site assembly?
— Focus on the assembly of construction products that are manufactured off site using in-house labor?
— Create partnerships with off-site manufacturers to leverage relationships and increase efficiency in execution?
— Build best-in-class on-site logistics capabilities?
— Clean up project portfolio in terms of end markets, services offered, and geographic footprint to enable a focus on operational excellence?
— Improve risk-management and value-assurance processes and lean capabilities?
— Reconsider the balance of different types of projects in portfolio (e.g., size of contracts, types of contracts) to adjust risk in portfolio?

Disrupt

Drive integration along the value chain and lead in module development and production or new building approaches?
— Benefit from role as main point of contact for owners or developers to integrate design and engineering, module production, and related logistics activities?
— Design new building systems and use innovative building approaches using a mixture of prefabricated standardized elements and on-site solutions to sustainably raise competitiveness?
— Strive to become responsible from the beginning to the end, taking care of everything from customers’ capex portfolios to managing assets?

Develop value-added offerings and move forward along the value chain?
— Implement capabilities for performance-based contracting and offer consulting services to customers?
— Create partnerships with off-site manufacturers to leverage relationships and increase efficiency in execution?
Today, specialist contractors perform discrete tasks in construction projects, such as mechanical, electrical, and plumbing work. In the future, a significant share of activity for specialist contractors will move to factories and lower-cost workers. Digitalization and direct-procurement approaches may remove markups and incentives on materials purchases common in some markets in the industry. Much like general contractors, they will have to expand their skills, excel in execution, integrate with companies throughout the value chain, and consider further specialization (for example, particular types of buildings)—or prepare for downscaling.

**Transform**

**Master digitalization and operational excellence?**
- Prepare for seamless integration into value chain via digital interfaces and process support?
- Focus on operational excellence, including lean and logistics or procurement, to stay ahead of competition?

**Specialize and consolidate or rightsize?**
- Achieve scale in the market, matching or exceeding the scale of contractors, to sustain bargaining power vis-à-vis general contractors, distributors, and component manufacturers?
- Consider pursuing sell-side M&A?
- Specialize in high-value-adding segments and activities or those less affected by the shifts, such as refurbishment work or complex projects?

**Disrupt**

**Enter subsystem integration or production?**
- Partner with manufacturers or build own capabilities in subsystem supply—e.g., 3-D printing of facade elements or module connectors in water and electricity?
- Increase level of subassembly off site to enable standardization of installation process?

**Become an adviser to help customers optimize their energy usage?**
- Become an adviser to those selecting components, materials, and solutions that optimize energy usage for customers?
Disruptive change is coming to the construction industry, and it will affect every segment of the value chain. Revenues and value added will be redistributed, in some cases dramatically. Disruption has already begun and will only accelerate in the coming years, and the COVID-19 crisis has created an overriding urgency to act—and act decisively. Executives, investors, and policymakers alike will need to step up the scale and pace of their responses and make sure they stand on the right side of a transformation that will create new winners but also leave many behind.
Related reading

Further insights
McKinsey publishes on issues of interest to industry executives. Our recent articles include:

- How construction can emerge stronger after coronavirus
- The impact and opportunities of automation in construction
- Collaborative contracting: Moving from pilot to scale-up
- Decoding digital transformation in construction
- How analytics can drive smarter engineering and construction decisions
- Fine-tuning the growth engine: M&A in engineering and construction
- Seizing opportunity in today’s construction technology ecosystem
- Artificial intelligence: Construction technology’s next frontier
- Bridging infrastructure gaps: Has the world made progress?
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